arXiv:1406.4496v1 [math.GT] 17 Jun 2014

[1]

AN ALGORITHM TO CLASSIFY RATIONAL 3-TANGLES

BO-HYUN KWON

Abstract. A 3-*tangle* T is the disjoint union of 3 properly embedded arcs in the unit 3-ball; it is called rational if there is a homeomorphism of pairs from (B^3, T) to $(D^2 \times I, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \times I)$. Two rational 3-tangles T and T' are isotopic if there is an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism $h : (B^3, T) \to (B^3, T')$ that is the identity map on the boundary. In this paper, we give an algorithm to check whether or not two rational 3-tangles are isotopic by using a modified version of Dehn's method for classifying simple closed curves on surfaces.

1. Introduction

Tangles were introduced by J. Conway. In 1970, he proved that every rational 2-tangle defines a rational number and two rational 2-tangles are isotopic if and only if they have the same rational number. However, there is no similar invariant known which classifies rational 3-tangles. In this paper, I describe an algorithm to check whether or not two rational 3-tangles are isotopic.

A *n-tangle* is the disjoint union of n properly embedded arcs in the unit 3-ball; the embedding must send the endpoints of the arcs to $2n$ marked (fixed) points on the ball's boundary. Without loss of generality, consider the marked points on the 3-ball boundary to lie on a great circle. The tangle can be arranged to be in general position with respect to the projection onto the flat disk in the xy-plane bounded by the great circle. The projection then gives us a *tangle diagram*, where we make note of over and undercrossings as with knot diagrams. A *rational n-tangle* is a n-tangle $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_n$ in a 3-ball B^3 such that there exists a homeomorphism of pairs $\overline{H} : (B^3, \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_n) \longrightarrow (D^2 \times I, \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\} \times I)$, where $I = [0, 1]$.

We note that there exists a homeomorphism $K : (D^2 \times I, \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\} \times I) \to (B^3, \epsilon_1 \cup \epsilon_2 \cup \cdots \cup \epsilon_n)$, where $\epsilon_1 \cup \epsilon_2 \cup \cdots \cup \epsilon_n$ is the ∞ tangle as in Figure 1.

Therefore, alternatively, a n-tangle $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_n$ is *rational* if there exists a homeomorphism of pairs: $\widehat{H} = (\overline{H})^{-1} K^{-1} : (B^3, \epsilon_1 \cup \epsilon_2 \cup \cdots \cup \epsilon_n) \to (B^3, \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_n)$.

Two rational n-tangles, T, T', in B^3 are *isotopic*, denoted by $T \approx T'$, if there is an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism $h : (B^3, T) \to (B^3, T')$ that is the identity map on the boundary.

Let $\Sigma_{0,6}$ be the six punctured sphere and let $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 \cup \epsilon_2 \cup \epsilon_3$ be the ∞ 3-tangle as in Figure 2. Then, for two orientation preserving homeomorphisms f and g from $\Sigma_{0,n}$ to $\Sigma_{0,n}$,

[1]The subject classification code: 57M27



FIGURE 1. Examples of rational 3-tangles

we say they are *isotopic*, denoted by $f \sim g$, if there is a continuous map $H : \Sigma_{0,n} \times I \to \Sigma_{0,n}$ so that $H(x,1) = f(x)$ and $H(x,0) = g(x)$ and $h_t(x) = H(x,t)$ is a homeomorphism for all t. Also, we say that a subset C_1 of $\Sigma_{0,n}$ is *isotopic* to C_2, denoted by $C_1 \sim C_2$, if there is a homeomorphism h of $\Sigma_{0,6}$ with $h(C_1) = C_2$ such that $h \sim id$.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of this algorithm, we will show that the rational 3-tangles in Figure 1 are not isotopic to each other. Note that for every string of T, if we consider the other two strings then they are isotopic to a trivial rational 2-tangle in B^3. However, we will show that T is not isotopic to the ∞ tangle. So, T is similar to the Borromean rings. We will also show that T is not isotopic to the tangle T' which is obtained from T by reversing all the crossings in T.

The algorithm is based on the following facts, which are proved in Section 2.

Up to isotopy, orientation preserving homeomorphisms f and g from $\Sigma_{0,6}$ to $\Sigma_{0,6}$ which fix the puncture 1 can be obtained by four half Dehn twists $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ and σ_4 which are the generators of the braid group $\mathbb{B}_5$. (Refer to [2].)

Then we can get extensions $F, G : B^3 \to B^3$ of f and g which fix the set of six points, $\epsilon \cap \partial B^3$, setwise giving two rational 3-tangles $T_F := F(\epsilon)$ and $T_G := G(\epsilon)$.

We will show that a tangle can be "presented" by an element of $\mathbb{B}_5$ and our algorithm will decide whether or not two elements of $\mathbb{B}_5$ present equivalent tangles. We will discuss this in Section 2.

We say that a disk D is *essential* in $B^3 - T$ for a rational 3-tangle T if D is a properly embedded disk in $B^3 - T$ but it is not boundary parallel in $B^3 - T$.

Theorem 2.3 *For two rational 3-tangles T_F and T_G, $T_F \approx T_G$ if and only if $G^{-1}F(\partial E)$ bounds essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$, where $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ is a fixed union of "standard essential disks" in $B^3 - \epsilon$. (Refer to Figure 2.)*

In fact, if $G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)$ and $G^{-1}F(\partial E_j)$ bound essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$ then $G^{-1}F(\partial E_k)$ also bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ for $\{i,j,k\} = \{1,2,3\}$. Therefore, if two of $G^{-1}F(\partial E)$ bound essential disks then $T_F \approx T_G$.



FIGURE 2. A trivial rational 3-tangle, ϵ

We note that there is another way to check whether $G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ or not by using a fundamental group argument. There is an induced map $i_* : \pi_1(\Sigma_{0,6}) \to \pi_1(B^3 - \epsilon)$ from the inclusion map $i : \Sigma_{0,6} \to B^3 - \epsilon$. Then we know that if $i_*([G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)]) = 1$ then $G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ by Dehn's Lemma. This method is conceptually simple but it appears to be awkward to implement due to having to deal with arbitrarily long words in a free group. However, if one uses the algorithm given below to check whether or not $G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ then we will be dealing with integer vectors of fixed dimension. I will give an example to compare the two algorithms later.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of isotopy classes of closed essential simple closed curves in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. A simple closed curve γ is *essential* in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ if γ does not bound a disk in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ and γ does not enclose a single puncture of $\Sigma_{0,6}$.

The algorithm is as follows:

Step 1: We represent $\Sigma_{0,6}$ as the union of two hexagons H and H^c so that the vertices are the punctures of $\Sigma_{0,6}$. Then we use a variation of normal curve theory to parameterize $\mathcal{C}$. Each curve has a "hexagon diagram". A set of "weights" w_{ij} and w^{kl} for the hexagon diagram parameterizes the set of isotopy classes $[G^{-1}F(\partial E_s)]$. Using certain formulas the weights can be obtained easily from the words in $\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_4$ which describe F and G, but the weights are hard to use directly to decide whether or not $G^{-1}F(\partial E_s)$ bounds an essential disk.

Step 2: We find a simple closed curve γ', possibly not isotopic to γ, which bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if the component γ of $G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)$ does. We take a decomposition of $\Sigma_{0,6}$ into three 2-punctured disks E_i' and one pair of pants I, where each 2-punctured disk contains one component of $H \cap H^c$. We specify the isotopy class $[\gamma']$ by using a modified version of Dehn's method. (See [5].) We define the Dehn parameters p_i, q_i and t_i $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ of $[\gamma']$ in E_i' and the weights x_{jk} $(1 \leq j, k \leq 3)$ of $[\gamma']$ in I. The x_{jk} are determined by p_i, q_i and t_i. We note that the Dehn parameters p_i, q_i and t_i $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ of $[\gamma']$ are obtained from the weights w_{ij} and w^{kl} for the hexagon diagram, where $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Step 3: We modify γ' into γ_0, possibly not isotopic to γ' or γ, which is in "standard position" and bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if γ' does. Then we get "standard

weights" $m_i \geq 0$ $(1 \leq i \leq 11)$ of γ_0 from the Dehn parameters. Standard position is slightly reminiscent of train track theory, but involves fewer diagrams.

Step 4: We define three homeomorphisms δ_1, δ_2 and δ_3 so that γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if both $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1}(\gamma_0)$ and $\delta_3(\gamma_0)$ bound essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$. Then, we repeatedly apply Theorem 9.3 below to check whether γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$, where I' is certain regular heighborhood of I.

Theorem 9.3 Suppose that γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and γ_0 is in standard position in I' and $m_3 > 0$. Then applying one of the homeomorphisms $(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1})^{\pm 1}$ and $\delta_3^{\pm 1}$ reduces the sum of the p_i for the image of γ_0.

Suppose that a simple closed curve γ_0 is in standard position and has $m_3 > 0$. If we can reduce the sum of the standard weights of γ_0 by using one of the four homeomorphisms then we take the new simple closed curve γ_1 which is obtained by applying one of the four homeomorphisms. If not, then γ_0 does not bound an essential disk. If γ_1 still has $m_3 > 0$, then we will go on. Suppose $m_3 = 0$. Then γ_1 is isotopic to one of the ∂E_k if $m_i = 0$ for all i. It does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if $m_i \neq 0$ for some i. Since the sum of the standard weights is finite, the algorithm will end in a finite number of steps.

Recall that γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if $G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)$ does. So, the given procedures form an algorithm to classify rational 3-tangles.

The author would like to thank his advisor Robert Myers for his consistent encouragement and sharing his enlightening ideas on the foundations of this topic.

2. Presentations of rational 3-tangles

We recall that a rational 3-tangle T can be arranged to be in general position with respect to the projection onto the flat disk Q in the xy-plane bounded by the great circle C. Then we will have a tangle diagram TD of the rational 3-tangle T. Let p be the number of crossings of the tangle T in the diagram.

Now, we say that a tangle diagram TD is *standard* if for the nested disks $Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \cdots \subset Q_{p+1}$, Q_1 contains the ∞ tangle and each annulus $N_j = Q_{j+1} - Q_j^\circ$ contains exactly one crossing of the crossings of T as in Figure 3.

Then we define a rational 3-tangle T to be in *standard position* if the projection of T onto the flat disk in the xy-plane bounded by C is a standard diagram.

Let σ_i be the half Dehn twist supported on the twice punctured disk K_i as in Figure 4.

Then we have an extension τ_i of σ_i to B^3 as follows.

Take a ball B_i in B^3 so that $B_i \cap \partial B^3 = \overline{K_i}$ and $B_i \cap \epsilon$ is two trivial subarcs of ϵ as in Figure 5.



FIGURE 3. A standard diagram of a rational 3-tangle expressed by $w = \tau_5^{-1}\tau_4^{-1}\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1$.



FIGURE 4. Generators of the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{0,6}$



FIGURE 5. The extension τ_i of a half Dehn twist σ_i to B^3

Then, we define τ_i so that $\tau_i|_{B^3-B_i} = id$ and $\tau_i|_{B_i}$ is an extension of σ_i to B_i which twists the two trivial simple subarcs in B_i to have a positive crossing as in Figure 5.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that F is an orientation preserving homeomorphism from B^3 to B^3 so that $F(\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}) = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. Then, there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $F_1 : B^3 \to B^3$ so that $F_1(1) = 1$ and $F_1(\epsilon) = F(\epsilon)$.*

Proof. First, we claim that there is a homeomorphism $R_i : (B^3, \epsilon) \to (B^3, \epsilon)$ so that $R_i(1) = i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

We note that there is a homeomorphism $\rho_j : (B^3, \epsilon) \to (B^3, \epsilon)$ so that $\rho_j(1) = j$ for $j = 1, 3$ or 5 by using $0°$, $120°$ or $240°$ counterclockwise rotation in the plane. Then we remark that it preserves ϵ setwise. So, $R_i = \rho_i$ for $i = 1, 3, 5$.

We note that τ_k switches the two endpoints of $\epsilon_{1+\frac{k}{2}}$ for $k = 0, 2, 4$.

We let $R_i : (B^3, \epsilon) \to (B^3, \epsilon)$ be $R_i = \tau_{i-2} \circ \rho_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, 4, 6$. We check that $R_i(1) = i$ for $i = 2, 4, 6$.

Suppose that $F(p) = 1$. Then we let $F_1 = F \circ R_p$. So, we have $F_1(1) = F(R_p(1)) = F(p) = 1$. Also, we know that $F_1(\epsilon) = F(R_p(\epsilon)) = F(\epsilon)$ since $R_p(\epsilon) = \epsilon$.

□

Now, by Lemma 2.1, we may assume $F(1) = 1$. *This will be assumed throughtout the rest of the paper.*

Let $f = F|_{\Sigma_{0,6}}$. Then it is an orientation preserving homeomorphism from $\Sigma_{0,6}$ to $\Sigma_{0,6}$. The mapping class group of $\Sigma_{0,6}$ is $\mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_{0,6}) = Homeo^+(\Sigma_{0,6})/\sim$.

Let $\mathcal{MCG}_1(\Sigma_{0,6}) = \{[h] \in \mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_{0,6}) \mid h(1) = 1\}$, where $[h]$ is the isotopy class of h. Then, $[f] \in \mathcal{MCG}_1(\Sigma_{0,6})$.

Recall the two rational 3-tangles T and T' in Figure1 which can be arranged as standard diagrams.

In Figure 1, we see that $T \approx \tau_5 \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_0^{-1} \circ \tau_3 \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_5(\epsilon)$ and $T' \approx \tau_5^{-1} \circ \tau_1^{-1} \circ \tau_0 \circ \tau_3^{-1} \circ \tau_1^{-1} \circ \tau_5^{-1}(\epsilon)$, where τ_i is an extension of σ_i to B^3.

We say that a rational 3-tangle $F(\epsilon)$ is *presented* by an element of $\mathbb{B}_5$ if $F(\epsilon)$ is isotopic to $G(\epsilon)$ so that G is the composition of a sequence of extensions $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We note that the four generators of $\mathbb{B}_5$ are associated with the four isotopy classes $[\tau_i]$ $(1 \leq i \leq 4)$. The later of this section, we will show that every rational 3-tangle can be presented by an element of $\mathbb{B}_5$. For example, $T \approx \tau_5 \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_0^{-1} \circ \tau_3 \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_5(\epsilon) \approx \tau_1 \circ \tau_3 \circ \tau_2^{-1} \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_2^{-1} \tau_1 \circ \tau_2 \circ \tau_3(\epsilon)$.

The following Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 appear as Lemma 4.4.1 and Theorem 4.5 of [3].

Lemma 2.2 (Alexander [2])**.** *If $g : D^n \to D^n$ is a homeomorphism from the unit n-ball to itself which fixes the $(n-1)$-sphere $S^{n-1} = \partial D^n$ pointwise, then g is isotopic to the identity under an isotopy which fixes S^{n-1} pointwise. If $g(0) = 0$, then the isotopy may be chosen to fix 0.*

Theorem 2.3 (Birman [2])**.** *If $n \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{MCG}(\Sigma_{0,n})$ admits a presentation with generators $\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_4$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose that F is a homeomorphism of B^3 so that $F(\Sigma_{0,6}) = \Sigma_{0,6}$. Then there exists a homeomorphism G of B^3 so that $G(\epsilon) \approx F(\epsilon)$ and G is the composition of a sequence of extensions $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ of $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, $F|_{\Sigma_{0,6}}$ is isotopic to g in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ which is the composition of a sequence of $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then, By Lemma 2.2, the extension G of g which is the composition of the sequence of $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ is isotopic to F. □

Lemma 2.5. *If two homeomorphisms f and g of $\Sigma_{0,6}$ are isotopic, then for any two extensions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ of f and g to B^3, $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon) \approx \mathcal{G}(\epsilon)$.*

Proof. First, take a collar $N(\partial B^3) = S^2 \times [0, 1]$ in B^3 so that $S^2 \times \{0\} = \partial B^3$, $S^2 \times \{1\}$ is a properly embedded sphere in B^3 and $\epsilon \cap N(\partial B^3) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \times [0, 1]$.

We note that there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : \Sigma_{0,6} \times [0, 1] \to \Sigma_{0,6} \times [0, 1]$ so that $\phi(x, 0) = (f(x), 0)$ and $\phi(x, 1) = (g(x), 1)$ since $f \sim g$. Then we define $\overline{\phi} : S^2 \times [0, 1] \to S^2 \times [0, 1]$ by filling in the six punctures of $\Sigma_{0,6}$ for each time t.

Let $\overline{f}$ and $\overline{g}$ be the extensions of f and g to S^2 by filling in the six punctures of $\Sigma_{0,6}$.

Also, we know that there exists a homeomorphism $\psi : \Sigma_{0,6} \times [0, 1] \to \Sigma_{0,6} \times [0, 1]$ so that $\psi(x, t) = (g(x), t)$ for all t. Then we define $\overline{\psi} : S^2 \times [0, 1] \to S^2 \times [0, 1]$ by filling in the six punctures of $\Sigma_{0,6}$ for each time t.

Now, we define a homeomorphism $F : B^3 \to B^3$ so that $F|_{S^2 \times [0,1]} = \overline{\phi}$ and $F|_{B^3 - (S^2 \times [0,1])}$ is a homeomorphism of $B^3 - (S^2 \times [0, 1])$ which extends $\overline{\phi}|_{S^2 \times \{1\}}$.

Also, we define a homeomorphism $G : B^3 \to B^3$ so that $G|_{S^2 \times [0,1]} = \overline{\psi}$ and $G|_{B^3 - (S^2 \times [0,1])} = F|_{B^3 - (S^2 \times [0,1])}$. We remark that $\overline{\phi}(x, 1) = (\overline{g}(x), 1) = \overline{\psi}(x, 1)$.

We see that $F(\epsilon) = G(\epsilon)$.

We remark that for any extension $\mathcal{F}$ of f to B^3, $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon) \approx F(\epsilon)$ and any extension $\mathcal{G}$ of g to B^3, $\mathcal{G}(\epsilon) \approx G(\epsilon)$ by Lemma 2.2 since F is the extension of f to B^3 and G is the extension of g to B^3.

This implies that $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon) \approx \mathcal{G}(\epsilon)$ since $F(\epsilon) = G(\epsilon)$.

□

Lemma 2.6. *For a rational 3-tangle $F(\epsilon)$, there exists a rational 3-tangle $G(\epsilon)$ so that $G(\epsilon) \approx F(\epsilon)$ and $G(\epsilon)$ is in standard position.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, there exists a homeomorphism g of $\Sigma_{0,6}$ which is isotopic to $F|_{\Sigma_{0,6}}$ and g is a composition of a sequence of $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 4$.

Now, we construct an extension of g to B^3 as follows:

Suppose that $g = \sigma_{j_1}^{\alpha_1} \sigma_{j_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \sigma_{j_m}^{\alpha_m}$ for some $\sigma_{j_k} \in \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_5\}$ and integers α_k. Let $p = |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + \cdots + |\alpha_m|$. Now, consider the projection of B^3 onto the flat disk Q in the

xy-plane bounded by C and having the ∞ tangle diagram in $Q_1 \subset Q$. Then take nested disks $Q_2, \cdots Q_{p+1}$ so that $Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \cdots \subset Q_{p+1}$. Let $N_l = Q_{l+1} - Q_l$.

We know that the extension $\tau_{j_k}^{\pm 1}$ of $\sigma_{j_k}^{\pm 1}$ generates the crossing which may be in N_p. We note that the extension τ_i of a half Dehn tiwst σ_i in Figure 5 makes a positive crossing as in the last diagram of Figure 5. Then, we isotope the crossing into N_1. After this, we generate the next crossing by the extension of the next element either $\sigma_{j_k}^{\pm 1}$ or $\sigma_{j_{k-1}}^{\pm 1}$. Then we isotope the crossing into N_2 while we fix Q_2. By reading off the sequence of the composition from the right to the left and doing this procedure repeatedly, we can construct an extension G of g so that G is in standard position.

Finally, by using Lemma 2.2, we complete the proof of this lemma. □

We say that a crossing in a standard diagram is *expressed* by an extension $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ of $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$ if the crossing is obtained by applying $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ as above.



FIGURE 6. Flippings

Now, we will prove that every rational 3-tangle can be presented by an element of $\mathbb{B}_5$ with generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ and σ_4. So, our algorithm will decide whether or not two elements of $\mathbb{B}_5$ present equivalent tangles.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that $G(\epsilon)$ is in standard position and the crossing in N_p is expressed by $\tau_0^{\pm 1}$ as in the first diagram, or $\tau_5^{\pm 1}$ as in the third diagram in Figure 6. Then $(\tau_2\tau_3\tau_2\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2)^{\pm 1}$ or $(\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{\pm 1}$ can replace $\tau_0^{\pm 1}$ or $\tau_5^{\pm 1}$, respectively, so that the diagram of the new expression is still standard as in the second or fourth diagram in Figure 6.*

Especially, the number of crossings in Q_p is fixed, where Q_p is the disk inside of C as in Figure 6.

Proof. Consider the dotted line which passes through the center of C for each case as in Figure 6.

Flip the disk Q_p about the dotted line to eliminate the crossing associated to $\tau_0^{\pm 1}$ or $\tau_5^{\pm 1}$. Then, this procedure shows the lemma.

□

Remark 2.8. In Lemma 2.7, let ϕ_0^{-1} be the isotopy move to flip the disk Q_p to eliminate the crossing associated to τ_0 as in Figure 6. Then let ϕ_0 be the isotopy move to flip the disk Q_p

counter clockwise to eliminate the crossing associated to τ_0^{-1}. Similarly, let ϕ_5 be the isotopy move to flip the disk Q_p to eliminate the crossing associated to τ_5^{-1} as in Figure 6. Also, let ϕ_5^{-1} be the isotopy move to flip the disk Q_p clockwise to eliminate the crossing associated to τ_5.

Suppose that $G = \tau_{j_1}^{\alpha_1}\tau_{j_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\tau_{j_m}^{\alpha_m}$ for some $\tau_{j_k} \in \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \cdots, \tau_5\}$ and integers α_k which expresses the crossings in Q_{p+1}.

Then, the crossings in Q_p are expressed by $\tau_{j_1}^{\alpha_1}\tau_{j_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\tau_{j_m}^{\alpha_m\pm1}$.

Then we note that the crossings of $\phi_0^{\pm1}(Q_p)$ are expressed by $\tau_{\overline{j_1}}^{\alpha_1}\tau_{\overline{j_2}}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\tau_{\overline{j_m}}^{\alpha_m\pm1}$, where $\overline{j_i} \equiv -j_i \pmod 6$.

Similarly, we note that the crossings of $\phi_5^{\pm1}(Q_p)$ are expressed by $\tau_{\overline{j_1}}^{\alpha_1}\tau_{\overline{j_2}}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\tau_{\overline{j_m}}^{\alpha_m\pm1}$, where $\overline{j_i} \equiv 4 - j_i \pmod 6$.

Theorem 2.9. *A rational 3-tangle can be presented by an element of* $\mathbb{B}_5$.

Proof. First, we assume that a rational 3-tangle is in standard position. So, the projection onto the plat disk Q in the xy-plane is a standard diagram. Let p be the number of crossings in Q.

We remark that the two flippings in Figure 6 will not change the tangle type in Q_1. i.e., Q_1 still contains the ∞ tangle after flippings.

Also, we know that $\tau_0^{\pm1}$ is replaced by $(\tau_2\tau_3\tau_2\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2)^{\pm1}$ and $\tau_5^{\pm1}$ is replaced by $(\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{\pm1}$ after flipping.

We note that the expression in terms of the crossings in Q_p will be changed after flipping as in Remark 2.8, but the number of crossings in Q_p is fixed.

If the crossing in N_p is not expressed by either $\tau_0^{\pm1}$ or $\tau_5^{\pm1}$, then we consider the next crossing in N_{p-1}.

If the crossing in N_p is expressed by either $\tau_0^{\pm1}$ or $\tau_5^{\pm1}$, then we flip Q_p to eliminate the crossing associated to either $\tau_0^{\pm1}$ or $\tau_5^{\pm1}$.

Then, we also know that the number of crossings in Q of the original diagram is more than the number of crossings in Q_p.

We remark that $(\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{\pm1}$ and $(\tau_2\tau_3\tau_2\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2)^{\pm1}$ do not contain $\tau_0^{\pm1}$ or $\tau_5^{\pm1}$ factors.

By repeating this procedure, we can have another expression of $G(\epsilon)$ which involves only $\sigma_1^{\pm1}$,...,$\sigma_4^{\pm1}$.

□

Now, I give an example about Theorem 2.9.



FIGURE 7. A procedure to find a presentation which involves only $\sigma_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, \sigma_4^{\pm 1}$

Consider the rational 3-tangle expressed by $w = \tau_5^{-1}\tau_0^{-1}\tau_4\tau_5^{-1}\tau_1$ as in the first diagram of Figure 7.

Flip the disk Q_5 to have a new expression $w_1 = (\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{-1}\tau_{\overline{0}}^{-1}\tau_{\overline{4}}\tau_{\overline{5}}^{-1}\tau_{\overline{1}}$ as in Figure 7.

Then, we note that $w_1 = (\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{-1}\tau_{\overline{0}}^{-1}\tau_{\overline{4}}\tau_{\overline{5}}^{-1}\tau_{\overline{1}} = (\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{-1}\tau_4^{-1}\tau_0\tau_5^{-1}\tau_3$.

So $w_1 = (\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{-1}\tau_4^{-1}w_1'$, where $w_1' = \tau_0\tau_5^{-1}\tau_3$.

We note that Q_4 contains the crossings which are expressed by $\tau_0\tau_5^{-1}\tau_3$ and Q_3 contains the crossings which are expressed by $\tau_5^{-1}\tau_3$.

Now, flip the disk Q_3 to have a new expression $w_2' = (\tau_2\tau_3\tau_2\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2)\tau_{\overline{5}}^{-1}\tau_{\overline{3}}$ of w_1'.

We note that $w_2' = (\tau_2\tau_3\tau_2\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2)\tau_{\overline{5}}^{-1}\tau_{\overline{3}} = (\tau_2\tau_3\tau_2\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2)\tau_1^{-1}\tau_3$.

Therefore, we have a new expression $w_3 = (\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1)^{-1}\tau_4^{-1}(\tau_2\tau_3\tau_2\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2)\tau_1^{-1}\tau_3$ of w which involves only $\sigma_1^{\pm 1}, \sigma_2^{\pm 1}, \sigma_3^{\pm 1}$ and $\sigma_4^{\pm 1}$.

3. Equivalence of rational 3-tangles

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3.2 which tells us alternative method to decide whether or not two rational 3-tangles are isotopic.



Figure 8. Three essential disks in the ∞ tangle

Let E_1,E_2 and E_3 be the three disjoint essential disks as in Figure 8. Then E_1,E_2 and E_3 separate B^3 into four components. Let H_i be the component which contains ϵ_i and $P = cl(B^3 - (H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3))$.

Let E_i' be the disk in ∂B^3 so that $\partial E_i' = \partial E_i$ and $E_i \cup E_i'$ bounds the ball H_i in B^3. Let $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$, $E' = E_1' \cup E_2' \cup E_3'$ and $\partial E = \partial E' = \partial E_1 \cup \partial E_2 \cup \partial E_3$.

We say that a properly embedded simple arc C in B^3 is *unknotted* if there is an isotopy $\phi_t : B^3 \to B^3$ that is identity on ∂B^3 so that $\phi_1(S) = C$, where S is the straight line arc with the endpoints ∂C.
Then we can prove Lemma 2.2 below.

Lemma 3.1. *If α and α' are properly embedded unknotted simple arcs in B^3 with $\partial\alpha = \partial\alpha' \subseteq S^2$, then $\alpha \approx \alpha'$.*

Proof. Since α and α' are properly embedded unknotted simple arcs in B^3 with $\partial\alpha = \partial\alpha'$, $\alpha \sim \alpha_g \sim \alpha'$ for the straight line arc α_g in B^3 from a to b. (where $\partial\alpha = \{a, b\}$.) We have a path $f_\alpha : I \to \alpha$ so that $f_\alpha(0) = a$ and $f_\alpha(1) = b$. Similarly, we also have paths $f_{\alpha'}$ and f_{α_g}. Let H and J be the isotopies from $B^3 \times I$ to B^3 so that $H(x, 0) = f_\alpha(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = f_{\alpha_g}(x)$, and $J(x, 0) = f_{\alpha'}(x)$ and $J(x, 1) = f_{\alpha_g}(x)$. Now, we define the isotopy $K : B^3 \times I \to B^3$ so that $K(x, t) = H(x, 2t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $K(x, t) = J(x, 2 - 2t)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$. Then K is an isotopy from α to α' in B^3.

□

Now consider orientation preserving homeomorphisms f and g from $\Sigma_{0,6}$ to $\Sigma_{0,6}$. Then we have F and G which are extensions to B^3 of f and g respectively.

Theorem 3.2. *For two rational 3-tangles T_F and T_G, $T_F \approx T_G$ if and only if $G^{-1}F(\partial E)$ bounds essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that there exists a homeomorphism H from $(B^3, F(\epsilon))$ to $(B^3, G(\epsilon))$ so that $H|_{\partial B^3} = id|_{\partial B^3}$. Then we know that $HF(\partial E) = F(\partial E)$ since $H|_{\partial B^3} = id|_{\partial B^3}$. Also,

$G^{-1}HF(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ since $H(F(\epsilon)) = G(\epsilon)$. Also, $G^{-1}HF(\partial E) = G^{-1}F(\partial E)$. We claim that $G^{-1}HF(E)$ are essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$. Since E are essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$, $F(E)$ are essential disks in $B^3 - F(\epsilon)$. Then $H(F(E))$ are properly embedded disks in B^3 which are disjoint with $H(F(\epsilon)) = G(\epsilon)$. Therefore, $H(F(E))$ are essential disks in $B^3 - G(\epsilon)$. Finally, we know that $G^{-1}(H(F(E)))$ are properly embedded disks in B^3 which are disjoint with $G^{-1}(G(\epsilon)) = \epsilon$. So, $G^{-1}HF(E)$ are disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and essential since each simple closed curve of $G^{-1}HF(\partial E)$ encloses two punctures in $\Sigma_{0,4}$. This implies that $G^{-1}F(\partial E)$ bound essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

($\Leftarrow$) Since $G^{-1}F(\partial E)$ bounds essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$, $F(\partial E)$ bounds essential disks in $B^3 - G(\epsilon)$. Let D_i be the properly embedded disk in $B^3 - G(\epsilon)$ so that $\partial D_i = F(\partial E_i)$. We also know that $F(\partial E_i)$ bounds a disk $F(E_i')(= K_i)$ in ∂B^3 which contains two punctures. Then, $F(E_i) \cup K_i$ bounds a ball M_i in B^3 and M_i contains $F(\epsilon_i)$. Similarly, $D_i \cup K_i$ bounds a ball N_i in B^3 so that N_i contains $G(\epsilon_i)$. Now, we can define a homeomorphism $h_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ from M_i to N_i so that $h_i|_{F(E_i')} = id_{F(E_i')}$ and $h_i(F(\epsilon_i)) = G(\epsilon_i)$ by using Lemma 2.2 and the Alexander trick. Also, we can define h_4 from $B^3 - (M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3)^\circ$ to $B^3 - (N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3)^\circ$ so that $h_4|_{\partial B^3 - (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3)} = id$ and $h_4|_{F(E_i)} = h_i|_{F(E_i)}$. Then we have a homeomorphism H from B^3 to B^3 so that $H|_{\partial B^3} = id$ and $H(F(\epsilon)) = G(\epsilon)$.

□

In fact, if two of $G^{-1}F(\partial E)$ bound essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$ then $T_F \approx T_G$ by Lemma 3.3 below. So, two disjoint non-parallel simple closed curves which bound essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$ determine the ∞ tangle.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that two essential simple closed curves α, β ($\not\sim \alpha$) bound disjoint disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$. If γ is an essential simple closed curve which encloses two punctures, is disjoint with α and β and is non-parallel to α and β, then γ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.*

Proof. Let D_1 and D_2 be the two disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$ so that $\partial D_1 = \alpha$ and $\partial D_2 = \beta$. Cut $B^3 - \epsilon$ along the two disks. Then we have three balls P_i which contains ϵ_i. Suppose that $\gamma \subset P_1$ without loss of generality. Then γ divides ∂P_1 into two regions Q and R. Assume that Q contains the two punctures in P_1. To have a disk D_3 in P_1, push R° from ∂P_1 to the interior of P_1 a little bit.

□

4. Step 1: Hexagon prameterization of $\mathcal{C}$

Recall $\mathcal{C}$ which is the set of isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. In this section, we will describe how to parameterize $\mathcal{C}$ by using the hexagon diagram. To do this, we define the hexagon as follows.

Let $\partial\epsilon_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $\partial\epsilon_2 = \{3, 4\}$ and $\partial\epsilon_3 = \{5, 6\}$ as in Figure 9. By connecting the punctures in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ as in Figure 9, we can make the hexagon H. Let a_i be the dotted open intervals as in Figure 9. Then let $\overline{a_i}$ be the closed interval which is obtained from a_i by adding the two punctures. For example, $\overline{a_1} = a_1 \cup \{1, 2\}$ and $\overline{a_3} = a_3 \cup \{5, 6\}$.

A family C of smooth simple closed curves disjointly embedded in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ so that no component of C is either null-homotopic or homotopic into a puncture is called a *multiple curve* in $\Sigma_{0,6}$; moreover, we require that two distinct components of C cannot be isotopic to each



FIGURE 9. Hexagon in $\Sigma_{0,6}$

other. Define a *multicurve* in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ to be the isotopy class of a multiple curve in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. Let Γ be a graph so that the vertices of Γ are the punctures $1,2,3,4,5,6$ and the edges of Γ are $\overline{a_i}$. Then, we define the *pseudo-graph* $\Gamma^\circ = \Gamma - \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. Then a multiple curve γ is in *general position* with respect to Γ° in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ if γ meets Γ° transversely. Also, a multiple curve γ is in *minimal general position* with respect to Γ° in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ if γ is in general position with respect to Γ° and γ has a minimal number of intersections with Γ° up to isotopy.

Now, consider orientation preserving homeomorphisms $f = \sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{b_1}\sigma_3^{c_1}\sigma_4^{d_1}\cdots\sigma_1^{a_k}\sigma_2^{b_k}\sigma_3^{c_k}\sigma_4^{d_k}$ and $g = \sigma_1^{a'_1}\sigma_2^{b'_1}\sigma_3^{c'_1}\sigma_4^{d'_1}\cdots\sigma_1^{a'_m}\sigma_2^{b'_m}\sigma_3^{c'_m}\sigma_4^{d'_m}$ from $\Sigma_{0,6}$ to $\Sigma_{0,6}$ for some $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, a'_j, b'_j, c'_j, d'_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Theorem 2.3, $g^{-1}f(\partial E) = \sigma_4^{-d'_m}\sigma_3^{-c'_m}\sigma_2^{-b'_m}\sigma_1^{-a'_m}\cdots\sigma_4^{-d'_1}\sigma_3^{-c'_1}\sigma_2^{-b'_1}\sigma_1^{-a'_1}\sigma_1^{a_1}\sigma_2^{b_1}\sigma_3^{c_1}\sigma_4^{d_1}\cdot\cdot\cdot\sigma_1^{a_k}\sigma_2^{b_k}\sigma_3^{c_k}\sigma_4^{d_k}(\partial E)$ bounds essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if $T_F \approx T_G$, where F and G are extensions of f and g to B^3.

Let $\gamma = g^{-1}f(\partial E_p)$, where $p \in \{1,2,3\}$. Then, we want to know how each half Dehn twist σ_j changes γ in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. Assume that γ is in minimal general position with respect to Γ°. Let w_{ij} be the number of arcs of γ which are from a_i to a_j in the hexagon. Also, we define w^{kl} to be the number of arcs which are from a_k to a_l in the complement of the hexagon H^c. These are called *weights*. We notice that $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$ and $w^{kl} = w^{lk}$. Also, we know if $w_{ij} \neq 0$ for i,j such that $i = j \pm 1 \pmod 6$ then $w^{ij} = 0$ and if $w^{kl} \neq 0$ for k,l such that $k = l \pm 1 \pmod 6$ then $w_{kl} = 0$. If not, then we have a simple closed curve which is parallel to a puncture. We notice that $w_{ii} = w^{ii} = 0$ for all i since γ is in minimal general position with respect to Γ°.

First, we will show that the weights w_{ij} and w^{ij} for the isotopy class $[\gamma]$ are well defined.

Let $a_7, a_8, ..., a_{12}$ be the open arcs which connect two punctures as in Figure 10. Let $\Gamma_+ = \cup_{i=1}^{12}\overline{a_i}$ and $\Gamma_+^\circ = \Gamma_+ - \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. Then let Γ_* be a subgraph of Γ_+. Then we define $\Gamma_*^\circ = \Gamma_* - \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.

For two simple subarcs λ and ν of a union K of finitely many simple arcs in a surface Σ, (Δ, λ, ν) is a *bigon* in the surface Σ if $\lambda \cup \nu$ bounds a disk Δ in Σ and $\partial\lambda = \partial\nu = \lambda \cap \nu$ and $(\text{int } \Delta) \cap K = \emptyset$. Then we say that two unions A and B of simple arcs in a surface Σ have a *bigon* if there exist two simple subarcs λ and ν in A and B respectively so that (Δ, λ, ν) is



FIGURE 10. A triangulation of the Hexagon diagram

a bigon.

Let $|A \cap B|$ be the number of intersections between A and B.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that δ is a simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ so that δ is in general position with respect to Γ_*°, but $\delta \cap \Gamma_*^\circ$ is not minimal. Then δ and Γ_*° have a bigon in $\Sigma_{0,6}$.*

Proof. Let δ' be a simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ so that $\delta' \sim \delta$ and δ' is in minimal general position with respect to Γ_*°. Then by the transversality theorem we can choose an isotopy $H : S^1 \times [0,1] \to \Sigma_{0,6}$ so that $H(S^1 \times \{0\}) = \delta$, $H(S^1 \times \{1\}) = \delta'$ and $H^{-1}(\Gamma_*^\circ)$ is a collection of 1-manifolds in $S^1 \times [0,1]$. Let $m = |H^{-1}(\Gamma_+^\circ) \cap (S^1 \times \{0\})|$ and $n = |H^{-1}(\Gamma_*^\circ) \cap (S^1 \times \{1\})|$. Then we notice that $m > n$ since $\delta' \cap \Gamma_*^\circ$ is minimal in $\Sigma_{0,6}$, but $\delta \cap \Gamma_*^\circ$ is not minimal. Therefore, there exists a properly embedded arc α in $S^1 \times [0,1]$ so that α is parallel to an arc β of $S^1 \times \{0\}$ and $H(\alpha) \subset \Gamma_*^\circ$. So, $\alpha \cup \beta$ bounds a disk D in $S^1 \times [0,1]$. Let c_1 and c_2 be the common endpoints of α and β. Let $d_1 = H(c_1)$ and $d_2 = H(c_2)$. Now, consider $H|_D$. Let $[d_1, d_2]$ be the segment between d_1 and d_2 in Γ_*°. Now, we choose a homeomorphism $K : \alpha \to [d_1, d_2]$ with $K(c_1) = d_1$, $K(c_2) = d_2$. Then we remark that $K \simeq H|_\alpha$ rel $\{c_1, c_2\}$. We define $\overline{K} : \alpha \cup \beta \to \Sigma_{0,6}$ so that $\overline{K}(x) = K(x)$ for $x \in \alpha$ and $\overline{K}(y) = H(y)$ for $y \in \beta$. So, $H|_{\alpha\cup\beta} \simeq \overline{K}|_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ rel $\{c_1\}$. Let $[d_1, d_2] = \alpha'$ and $H(\beta) = \beta'$. Let p_1 be a path from $H(c_1)$ to $H(c_2)$ along α' and let p_2 be a path from $H(c_2)$ to $H(c_1)$ along β'. Then $p_1 \cdot p_2$ is a loop with base point $H(c_1)$. Then we notice that $p_1 \cdot p_2$ is null-homotopic in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. Therefore, $\alpha' \cup \beta'$ bounds a disk D' in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. This implies that δ and Γ_*° have a bigon in $\Sigma_{0,6}$.

□

Corollary 4.2. *If γ and Γ_+° have no bigons then γ is in minimal general position with respect to Γ_+°. Moreover, $\gamma \cap a_i$ also has a minimal intersection for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 12\}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.1, we know that if γ and Γ_+° have no bigons then γ is in minimal general position with respect to Γ_+°. Now, suppose that $\gamma \cap a_i$ does not have a minimal intersection. Then γ and a_i have a bigon in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ by Lemma 4.1. So, we have closed intervals $\lambda \subset a_i$ and $\nu \subset \gamma$ so that $\lambda \cup \nu$ bounds a disk Δ in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. This implies that γ and Γ_+° have a bigion since ν is homotopic to λ. This contradicts the fact that $\gamma \cap \Gamma_+^\circ$ has a minimal intersection. Therefore, $\gamma \cap a_i$ is minimal for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 12\}$. □

Using Corollary 4.2, we will show the weights of isotopy classes are well defined.

Recall that w_{ij} is the number of arcs of γ which are from a_i to a_j in the hexagon H and w^{kl} is the number of arcs of γ which are from a_k to a_l in the complement of the hexagon H^c.

Lemma 4.3. *The weights w_{ij} and w^{ij} of $[\gamma]$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$ are well defined.*

Proof. Suppose that γ is in minimal general position with respect to Γ°_+. Let m_i be the number of intersections between γ and a_i for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 12\}$. Let A_i be the regions as in Figure 10.

For the three sides a_1, a_6 and a_7 of a region A_1, let s_{16}, s_{17}, s_{67} be the numbers of arcs from a_i to a_j in A_1, where $i, j \in \{1, 6, 7\}$. Then we know that $s_{16} + s_{17} = m_1$, $s_{16} + s_{67} = m_6$ and $s_{67} + s_{17} = m_7$. By solving these equations for s_{ij}, we have $s_{16} = \dfrac{m_1 + m_6 - m_7}{2}$, $s_{17} = \dfrac{m_1 + m_7 - m_6}{2}$ and $s_{67} = \dfrac{m_6 + m_7 - m_1}{2}$. So, the weights in A_1 are determined by m_1, m_6 and m_7. Similarly, the weights t_{27}, t_{28}, t_{78} in A_2 are determined by m_2, m_7 and m_8.

Since γ is in mimimal general position with respect to Γ°_+, γ and Γ°_+ have no bigon. By Corollary 4.2, we know that m_k is unique for $k = 1, 2, ..., 12$. This implies that the weights s_{ij} and t_{kl} in A_1 and A_2 respectively are also unique since m_k is unique.

Now, consider $A_1 \cup A_2$. Then for the four sides a_1, a_2, a_6 and a_8 of the rectange $A_1 \cup A_2$, let y_{pq} be the number of arcs from a_p to a_q in $A_1 \cup A_2$, where $p, q \in \{1, 2, 6, 8\}$. Then the weights $y_{12}, y_{16}, y_{18}, y_{26}, y_{28}$ and y_{68} are determined by $\{s_{16}, s_{17}, s_{67}, t_{27}, t_{28}, t_{78}\}$ as follows. $y_{12} = \min(s_{17}, t_{27})$, $y_{16} = s_{16}$, $y_{18} = s_{17} - y_{12} = s_{17} - \min(s_{17}, t_{27})$, $y_{26} = t_{27} - y_{12} = t_{27} - \min(s_{17}, t_{27})$, $y_{28} = t_{28}$ and $y_{68} = t_{78} - y_{18} = t_{78} - s_{17} + y_{12} = t_{78} - s_{17} + \min(s_{17}, t_{27})$.

For four sides a_3, a_4, a_5 and a_8 of $A_3 \cup A_4$, let z_{uv} be the number of arcs from a_u to a_v in $A_3 \cup A_4$, where $u, v \in \{3, 4, 5, 8\}$. Then we note that z_{uv} are determined by the six weights in A_3 and A_4.

Now, consider $H = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4$. Then we claim that the weights w_{ij} in H are determined by y_{pq} and z_{uv} as follows.

$w_{12} = y_{12}$, $w_{13} = \max(0, y_{18} + z_{38} - \max(z_{38}, y_{18} + y_{28}))$, $w_{15} = \max(0, y_{18} + z_{58} - \max(z_{58}, y_{18} + y_{68}))$, $w_{14} = y_{18} - w_{13} - w_{15}$, $w_{16} = y_{16}$; $w_{23} = \min(y_{28}, z_{38})$, $w_{24} = \max(0, y_{48} + z_{28} - \max(z_{28}, y_{48} + y_{38}))$, $w_{25} = y_{28} - w_{23} - w_{24}$, $w_{26} = y_{26}$; $w_{34} = z_{34}$, $w_{35} = z_{35}$, $w_{36} = z_{38} - w_{13} - w_{23}$; $w_{45} = z_{45}$, $w_{46} = \max(0, y_{48} + z_{68} - \max(z_{68}, y_{48} + y_{58}))$; $w_{56} = \min(y_{68}, z_{58})$.

In order to get the formula for w_{13}, we need to consider the two cases that $z_{38} \geq y_{18} + y_{28}$ and $z_{38} < y_{18} + y_{28}$.

If $z_{38} \geq y_{18} + y_{28}$, then we see that $w_{13} = y_{18}$.

If $z_{38} < g_{18} + y_{28}$, then we see that $w_{13} = \max(y_{18} - ((y_{18} + y_{28}) - z_{38}), 0) = \max(z_{38} - y_{28}, 0)$.

By combining the two cases, we get $w_{13} = \max(0, y_{18} + z_{38} - \max(z_{38}, y_{18} + y_{28}))$.

Similarly, we can get the formulas for w_{15}, w_{24} and w_{46}.

Therefore, w_{ij} of $[\gamma]$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ are unique if γ is in minimal general position.

By using symmetry, we also know w^{kl} are determined by the weights in A_i for $i = 5, 6, 7, 8$.

Therefore, w_{jk} and w^{jk} for $j, k \in \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$ are determined by m_i for $i = 1, 2, ..., 12$ and this proves the theorem. □

From Theorem 4.2, we define that w_{ij} and w^{ij} are *the weights* for the *isotopy class* $[\gamma]$ in the *hexagon* parameterization if w_{ij} and w^{ij} are the weights for a simple closed curve δ which is isotopic to γ and has no bigons with the hexagon. Now, we want to calculate the weight changes by a half Dehn twist.



FIGURE 11. The half Dehn twist supported on K_P

First, we are getting a new curve $\hat{\gamma}$ as in Figure 11 which is a representative of $[\sigma_p(\gamma)]$ that may have a bigon, and so the new weight w'_{ii} may be non-zero. Then $\hat{\gamma}$ will be isotoped to remove all bigons and get the new weights v_{ij} for $[\sigma_p(\gamma)]$.



FIGURE 12. The weight changes by the half Dehn twist σ_1

Let w'_{ij} and w'^{ij} be the weights for $\sigma_1(\gamma)$ as in the middle diagram of Figure 12.

Theorem 4.4. *Let w_{ij} and w^{ij} be the weights for $[\gamma]$. Then the following formulas give the weights w'_{ij} and w'^{ij} for $\sigma_1(\gamma)$.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&w'_{12} = w_{12} + w_{26},\\
&w'_{13} = w_{13} + w_{36},\\
&w'_{14} = w_{14} + w_{46},\\
&w'_{15} = w_{15} + w_{56},\\
&w'_{16} = 0;\\
&w'_{23} = w_{23},\\
&w'_{24} = w_{24},\\
&w'_{25} = w_{25},\\
&w'_{26} = 0;\\
&w'_{34} = w_{34},\\
&w'_{35} = w_{35},\\
&w'_{36} = 0;\\
&w'_{45} = w_{45},\\
&w'_{46} = 0;\\
&w'_{56} = w_{16} + w_{26} + w_{36} + w_{46} + w_{56};\\
&w'_{11} = w_{16};
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&w'^{52} = w^{52} + w^{26},\\
&w'^{53} = w^{53} + w^{36},\\
&w'^{54} = w^{54} + w^{46},\\
&w'^{51} = w^{51} + w^{16},\\
&w'^{56} = 0;\\
&w'^{23} = w^{23},\\
&w'^{24} = w^{24},\\
&w'^{21} = w^{21},\\
&w'^{26} = 0;\\
&w'^{34} = w^{34},\\
&w'^{31} = w^{31},\\
&w'^{36} = 0;\\
&w'^{41} = w^{41},\\
&w'^{46} = 0;\\
&w'^{16} = w^{56} + w^{26} + w^{36} + w^{46} + w^{16};\\
&w'^{55} = w^{56}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. To see weight changes by a half Dehn twist σ_1, consider Figure 12.

From the two points x, y, we have 12 arcs which connect one of the two points and the middle of a_i. Then this diagram shows all possibilities of the weights. For example, there are arcs from a_1 to x and from x to a_2. These two arcs show the possibilities for w_{12}. Let Θ be the graph with the two vertices and the six edges. Now, take a proper two punctured disk D_1 which contains a_6 so that every component of $D_1 \cap \gamma$ is essential in D_1. We note that D_1 contains punctures 2 and 3. Now, apply a half Dehn twist σ_1 supported on D_1 counter clockwise to the first diagram to get the second diagram. Let Θ' be the graph which is obtained from Θ by σ_1. Let w'_{ij} and w'^{kl} be the weights for $\sigma_1(\gamma)$. We point out that $\sigma_1(\gamma)$ is not isotoped to have minimal intersection with the hexagon when w'_{ij} and w'^{kl} are

computed. That will happen when v_{ij} and v^{kl} are computed. The formulas above give the weights w'_{ij} and w'^{kl}.

□

We remark that if we use the transposition $(1,5)$ then we can get the formulas for w'^{ij} from the formulas for w'_{ij}. i.e., we switch the indices 1 and 5. For example, we get $w'^{16} = w^{56} + w^{26} + w^{36} + w^{46} + w^{16}$ from $w'_{56} = w_{16} + w_{26} + w_{36} + w_{46} + w_{56}$.

We notice that if we have a subarc of $\sigma_1(\gamma)$ for w'_{ii} or w'^{ii} then we can isotope the subarc across a_i so that eventually $w'_{ii} = w'^{ii} = 0$. Let Φ be the graph which is obtained from Θ by the isotopy to have $w'_{ii} = w'^{ii} = 0$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let w'_{ij} and w'^{ij} be the weights for $\sigma_1(\gamma)$. Then the following formulas give the weights v_{ij} and v^{ij} for $[\sigma_1(\gamma)]$ which has $v_{ii} = v^{ii} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.*

$v_{12} = w'_{12}$, $v_{13} = w'_{13}$, $v_{14} = w'_{14}$,
$v_{15} = \max(w'_{15} - w'^{55}, 0)$,
$v_{16} = \min(w'^{55}, w'_{15})$;
$v_{23} = w'_{23}$, $v_{24} = w'_{24}$,
$v_{25} = \min(w'_{25}, \max(w'_{15} + w'_{25} + w'_{35} + w'_{45} - w'^{55}, 0))$,
$v_{26} = \min(w'_{25}, \max(w'^{55} - w'_{15} - w'_{45} - w'_{35}, 0))$;
$v_{34} = w'_{34}$,
$v_{35} = \min(w'_{35}, \max(w'_{15} + w'_{35} + w'_{45} - w'^{55}, 0))$,
$v_{36} = \min(w'_{35}, \max(w'^{55} - w'_{15} - w'_{45}, 0))$;
$v_{45} = \min(w'_{45}, \max(w'_{15} + w'_{45} - w'^{55}, 0))$,
$v_{46} = \min(w'_{45}, \max(w'^{55} - w'_{15}, 0)$;
$v_{56} = w'_{56} - (w'^{55})$.

$v^{25} = w'^{25}$, $v^{35} = w'^{35}$, $v^{45} = w'^{45}$,
$v^{15} = \max(w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0)$,
$v^{56} = \min(w'_{11}, w'^{15})$;
$v^{23} = w'^{23}$,
$v^{24} = w'^{24}$,
$v^{12} = \min(w'^{12}, \max(w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0))$,
$v^{26} = \min(w'^{12}, \max(w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0))$;
$v^{34} = w'^{34}$,
$v^{13} = \min(w'^{13}, \max(w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0))$,
$v^{36} = \min(w'^{13}, \max(w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0))$;
$v^{14} = \min(w'^{14}, \max(w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0))$,
$v^{46} = \min(w'^{14}, \max(w'_{11} - w'^{15}, 0))$;
$v^{16} = w'^{16} - (w'_{11})$.

Let Θ'' be the union of arcs in the hexagon diagram as in Figure 13-(a) which shows the details of Θ'. Similarly, let Φ' be the union of arcs in the hexagon diagram as in Figure 13-(b) which shows the details of Φ.

I want to remark that the arcs in Θ'' and Φ' carry $\sigma_1(\gamma)$.



Proof.

FIGURE 13. The way to have $v_{ii} = 0$

From the diagram 13-(a), we obtain the diagram 13-(b) by pushing the arcs for w'_{11} across a_1 so that we change w'_{11} to 0. I note that each subarc of $\sigma_1(\gamma)$ from a_i to a_j carries a weight. For example, in 13-(a), assume that the two arcs from a_1 to a_6 carries the weights $w'^{16} - w'_{11}$ and w'_{11} respectively so that the sum of weights is w'^{16}. Let h_1 be the isotopy move to push the arcs that carring the weight w'_{11} across a_1. Also, we know that $h_1 \circ \sigma_1$ is isotopic to σ_1. Let $h_1(\sigma_1(\gamma)) = \gamma'$ and w''_{ij} and w''^{kl} be the weights for γ'. Then we have weight changes by σ_1 from γ to γ' which has $w''_{11} = 0$.

We note that $w''_{ij} = w'_{ij}$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $w''_{ii} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Now, consider the nine cases to find the formulas for w''^{kl} as in Figure 14.

I want to emphasize that the bands in the diagrams of Figure 14 now carries the weight of γ'.

(1) $w'_{11} = 0$ (2) $0 < w'_{11} < w'^{15}$ (3) $w'_{11} = w'^{15}$ (4) $w'^{15} < w'_{11} < w'^{14} + w'^{15}$

(5) $w'_{11} = w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ (6) $w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11} < w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$

(7) $w'_{11} = w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ (8) $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11} < w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{15} + w'^{15}$

(9) $w'_{11} = w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$

Then, we have the following formulas for w''^{kl}.

$w''^{25} = w'^{25}$, $w''^{35} = w'^{35}$, $w''^{45} = w'^{45}$,



FIGURE 14. Subcases for the weight changes from w'^{11}

$w''^{23} = w'^{23}$, $w''^{24} = w'^{24}$,

$w''^{34} = w'^{34}$,

$w''^{16} = w'^{16} - (w'_{11})$.

Claim (a): $w''^{56} = \min(w'_{11}, w'^{15})$.

Proof. We remind that $w'^{56} = 0$.

(i) If $w'_{11} < w'^{15}$ then by (1) and (2) we have $w''^{56} = w'_{11}$.

(ii) If $w'_{11} \geq w'^{15}$ then by (3) – (9) we have $w''^{56} = w'^{15}$. □

Claim (b): $w''^{15} = \max(w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0)$.

Proof. (i) If $w'_{11} < w'^{15}$ then by (1) and (2) we have $w''^{15} = w'^{15} - w'_{11}$.

(ii) If $w'_{11} \geq w'^{15}$ then by (3) – (9) we have $w''^{15} = 0$. □

Claim (c): $w''^{26} = \min(w'^{12}, \max(w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0))$.

Proof. Recall that $w'^{26} = 0$.

(i) If $w'_{11} \leq w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by $(1) - (7)$ we have $w''^{26} = 0$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} \leq 0$, $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{15} - w'^{14} - w'^{13}, 0)) = 0$. So, $w''^{26} = \min(w'^{12}, 0) = 0$.

(ii) If $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11} < w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (8) we have $w''^{26} = w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} > 0$, $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0) = w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} < w'^{12}$, we have $w''^{26} = \min(w'^{12}, w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}) = w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}$.

(iii) If $w'_{11} = w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (9) we have $w''^{26} = w'^{12}$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} = w'^{12}$, $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0) = w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} = w'^{12}$. So, $\min(w'^{12}, w'^{12}) = w'^{12}$. □

Claim (d): $w''^{12} = \min(w'^{12}, \max(w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0))$.

Proof. (i) If $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} > w'_{11}$ then by $(1) - (6)$ we have $w''^{12} = w'^{12}$. Since $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} > 0$, we have $\max(w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. So, $w''^{12} = \min(w'^{12}, w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}) = w'^{12}$ since $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} > w'_{11}$.

(ii) If $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} \leq w'_{11} < w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (7) and (8) we have $w''^{12} = w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. Since $w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} > 0$, $\max(w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. So, $w''^{12} = \min(w'^{12}, w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}) = w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$ since $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} \leq w'_{11}$.

(iii) If $w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} = w'_{11}$ then by (9) we have $w''^{12} = 0$. Since $w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} = 0$, we have $\max(w'^{12} + w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = 0$. So, $\min(w'^{12}, 0) = 0$. □

Claim (e): $w''^{36} = \min(w'^{13}, \max(w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0))$.

Proof. Recall that $w'^{36} = 0$.

(i) If $w'_{11} \leq w'^{15} + w'^{14}$ then by $(1) - (5)$ we have $w''^{36} = 0$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} \leq 0$, $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0) = 0$. So, $w''^{36} = \min(w'^{13}, 0) = 0$.

(ii) If $w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11} < w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (6) we have $w''^{36} = w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} > 0$, we have $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0) = w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}$. So, $w''^{36} = \min(w'^{13}, w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}) = w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}$ since $w'_{11} < w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$.

(iii) If $w'_{11} \geq w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by $(7) - (9)$ we have $w''^{36} = w'^{13}$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{13} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} \geq 0$, $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}, 0) = w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}$. So, $w''^{36} = \min(w'^{13}, w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15}) = w'^{13}$ since $w'_{11} \geq w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$. □

Claim (f): $w''^{13} = \min(w'^{13}, \max(w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0))$.

Proof. (i) If $w'^{14} + w'^{15} \geq w'_{11}$ then by $(1) - (5)$ we have $w''^{13} = w'^{13}$. Since $w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} \geq 0$, $\max(w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. So, $w''^{13} = \min(w'^{13}, w'^{13} + w'^{14} +$

$w'^{15} - w'_{11}) = w'^{13}$ since $w'^{14} + w'^{15} \geq w'_{11}$.

(ii) If $w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11} \leq w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (6) and (7) we have $w''^{13} = w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. Since $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} > 0$, we have $\max(w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. So, $w''^{13} = \min(w'^{13}, w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}) = w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$ since $w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11}$.

(iii) If $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11}$ then by (8) and (9) we have $w''^{13} = 0$. Since $w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} < 0$, $\max(w'^{13} + w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = 0$. So, $w''^{13} = \min(w'^{13}, 0) = 0$. □

Claim (g): $w''^{46} = \min(w'^{14}, \max(w'_{11} - w'^{15}, 0)$.

Proof. (i) If $w'_{11} \leq w'^{15}$ then by (1) − (3) we have $w''^{46} = 0$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{15} \leq 0$, $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{15}, 0) = 0$. So, $w''^{46} = \min(w'^{14}, 0) = 0$.

(ii) If $w'^{15} < w'_{11} < w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (4) we have $w''^{14} = w'_{11} - w'^{15}$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{15} > 0$, $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{15}, 0) = w'_{11} - w'^{15}$. So, $w''^{46} = \min(w'^{14}, w'_{11} - w'^{15}) = w'_{11} - w'^{15}$ since $w'_{11} < w'^{14} + w'^{15}$.

(iii) If $w'_{11} \geq w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (5) − (9) we have $w''^{46} = w'^{14}$. Since $w'_{11} - w'^{14} - w'^{15} \geq 0$, we have $\max(w'_{11} - w'^{15}, 0) = w'_{11} - w'^{15}$. So, $w''^{46} = \min(w'^{14}, w'_{11} - w'^{15}) = w'^{14}$ since $w'_{11} \geq w'^{14} + w'^{15}$. □

Claim (h): $w''^{14} = \min(w'^{14}, \max(w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0))$.

Proof. (i) If $w'^{15} \geq w'_{11}$ then by (1) − (3) we have $w''^{14} = w'^{14}$. Since $w'^{15} - w'_{11} \geq 0$, we have $\max(w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. So, $w''^{14} = \min(w'^{14}, w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}) = w'^{14}$ since $w'^{15} \geq w'_{11}$.

(ii) If $w'^{15} < w'_{11} \leq w'^{14} + w'^{15}$ then by (4) and (5) we have $w''^{14} = w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. Since $w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} \geq 0$, we have $\max(w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$. So, $w''^{14} = \min(w'^{14}, w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}) = w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}$ since $w'^{15} < w'_{11}$.

(iii) If $w'^{14} + w'^{15} < w'_{11}$ then by (6) − (9) we have $w''^{14} = 0$. Since $w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11} < 0$, we have $\max(w'^{14} + w'^{15} - w'_{11}, 0) = 0$. So, $w''^{14} = \min(w'^{14}, 0) = 0$. □

Now, by pushing the arcs for w'^{55} across a_5 we change w'^{55} to 0. (See Figure 15.) Let h_2 be the isotopy move to push the arcs for w'^{55} across a_5. We know that $h_2 \circ h_1 \circ \sigma_1$ is isotopic to σ_1. Let $h_2(h_1(\sigma_1(\gamma))) = \gamma''$ and v_{ij} and v^{kl} be the weights for γ''. We notice that pushing the arcs for w'_{55} does not depend on pushing the arcs for w'^{11} since $h_1(\sigma_1(\gamma))$ does not change the weights w'_{ij} if $i \neq j$. So, by using the diagram which is obtained by switching H and H^c, we have weight changes of w'^{kl} by σ from γ to γ'' which has no bigons. (see Figure 15.) Actually, we have the formulas for v_{ij} from w''^{ij} by replacing 1 by 5 and 5 by 1.

$v_{12} = w'_{12}$, $v_{13} = w'_{13}$, $v_{14} = w'_{14}$,
$v_{15} = \max(w'_{15} - w'^{55}, 0)$,
$v_{16} = \min(w'^{55}, w'_{15})$;
$v_{23} = w'_{23}$, $v_{24} = w'_{24}$,
$v_{25} = \min(w'_{25}, \max(w'_{15} + w'_{25} + w'_{35} + w'_{45} - w'^{55}, 0))$,



FIGURE 15. The way to make $v^{ii} = 0$

$v_{26} = \min(w'_{25}, \max(w'^{55} - w'_{15} - w'_{45} - w'_{35}, 0));$
$v_{34} = w'_{34},$
$v_{35} = \min(w'_{35}, \max(w'_{15} + w'_{35} + w'_{45} - w'^{55}, 0)),$
$v_{36} = \min(w'_{35}, \max(w'^{55} - w'_{15} - w'_{45}, 0));$
$v_{45} = \min(w'_{45}, \max(w'_{15} + w'_{45} - w'^{55}, 0)),$
$v_{46} = \min(w'_{45}, \max(w'^{55} - w'_{15}, 0);$
$v_{56} = w'_{56} - (w'^{55}).$
We note that $v^{kl} = w''^{kl}$.

□

Now, we have the following corollary by combining Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.6. *Let w_{ij} and w^{ij} be the weights for $[\gamma]$. Then the following formulas give the weights v_{ij} and v^{ij} for $[\sigma_1(\gamma)]$ which has $v_{ii} = v^{ii} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.*

$v_{12} = w_{12} + w_{26},$
$v_{13} = w_{13} + w_{36},$
$v_{14} = w_{14} + w_{46},$
$v_{15} = \max(w_{15} + w_{56} - w^{56}, 0),$
$v_{16} = \min(w^{56}, w_{15} + w_{56});$
$v_{23} = w_{23},$
$v_{24} = w_{24},$
$v_{25} = \min(w_{25}, \max(w_{15} + w_{25} + w_{35} + w_{45} + w_{56} - w^{56}, 0)),$
$v_{26} = \min(w_{25}, \max(w^{56} - w_{15} - w_{56} - w_{45} - w_{35}, 0));$
$v_{34} = w_{34},$
$v_{35} = \min(w_{35}, \max(w_{15} + w_{35} + w_{45} + w_{56} - w^{56}, 0)),$
$v_{36} = \min(w_{35}, \max(w^{56} - w_{15} - w_{56} - w_{45}, 0));$
$v_{45} = \min(w_{45}, \max(w_{15} + w_{45} + w_{56} - w^{56}, 0)),$
$v_{46} = \min(w_{45}, \max(w^{56} - w_{15} - w_{56}, 0);$
$v_{56} = w_{16} + w_{26} + w_{36} + w_{46} + w_{56} - (w^{56}).$

$v^{52} = w^{52} + w^{26},$

$v^{53} = w^{53} + w^{36}$,
$v^{54} = w^{54} + w^{46}$,
$v^{51} = \max(w^{51} + w^{16} - w_{16}, 0)$,
$v^{56} = \min(w_{16}, w^{51} + w^{16})$;
$v^{23} = w^{23}$,
$v^{24} = w^{24}$,
$v^{12} = \min(w^{12}, \max(w^{12} + w^{13} + w^{14} + w^{15} + w^{16} - w_{16}, 0))$,
$v^{26} = \min(w^{12}, \max(w_{16} - w^{13} - w^{14} - w^{15} - w^{16}, 0))$;
$v^{34} = w^{34}$,
$v^{13} = \min(w^{13}, \max(w^{13} + w^{14} + w^{15} + w^{16} - w_{16}, 0))$,
$v^{36} = \min(w^{13}, \max(w_{16} - w^{14} - w^{15} - w^{16}, 0))$;
$v^{14} = \min(w^{14}, \max(w^{14} + w^{15} + w^{16} - w_{16}, 0))$,
$v^{46} = \min(w^{14}, \max(w_{16} - w^{15} - w^{16}, 0))$;
$v^{16} = w^{16} + w^{26} + w^{36} + w^{46} + w^{56} - (w_{16})$.

Also, we can calculate weight changes which are affected by σ_1^{-1} by using the symmetry as follows. ∂H separates $\Sigma_{0,6}$ into two disks H and H^c. Now, we interchange H and H^c while fixing $\sigma_1(\gamma)$. Then we can get the formulas for the weights u_{ij} and u^{kl} for $[\sigma_1^{-1}(\gamma)]$. In fact, if we switch the upper indices and lower indices, we get the formulas for the weight changes by σ_1^{-1}. For example, we get $u^{12} = w^{12} + w^{26}$ from $v_{12} = w_{12} + w_{26}$.

Similarly, we get weight changes which are effected by $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 4$ by using a multiple of 60° rotation. For example, consider σ_3. First, rotate the hexagon diagram $-120°$ (clockwise) about the center of the hexagon. Let f be the rotation. Now apply σ_1 to $f(\gamma)$ to have the weights u_{ij} and u^{kl} for $[\sigma_1(f(\gamma))]$. After this, rotate the resulting diagram $+120°$ (couterclockwise) about the center of the hexagaon. Then we obtain the weight change formulas for $[\sigma_3(\gamma)]$.

We notice that the permutation $(123456)^{(6-2)} = (153)(264)$ gives the index changes for clockwise 120° rotation. From example, $u_{12} = w_{56}$. Then we can get the weight v'_{ij} and v'^{kl} for $[\sigma_1(f(\gamma))]$ from u_{ij} and u^{kl}. Now, we switch the indices to have the weights v_{ij} and v^{kl} for $[\sigma_3(\gamma)]$ by using the permutation $(123456)^{(6-4)} = (135)(246)$ for counterclockwise 120° rotation.

Now, we can calculate the weights of $G^{-1}F(\partial E_i)$ in the hexagion parameterization by using the formulas given in this section. We need 30 parameters with integer entries to express a simple closed curve γ. We notice that it is possible to have a very long length sequence of five generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma_{0,6})$ to express γ if we use the fundamental group argument. For example, consider a simple closed curve γ which has weights $w^{16} = w^{45} = w_{14} = w_{56} = 1, w^{15} = w_{15} = 20001$ and all the other weights are zero. However, we need a 40004 length sequence of five generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma_{0,6})$ to express γ.

Despite this benefit, it is difficult to know whether γ bounds an essential disk or not from the hexagon prameterization. So, we will use the *Dehn* parameterization as the follows.

5. Step 2-1: Dehn parameterization of $\mathcal{C}$

Let γ be a simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. Consider the pair of pants $I := \partial B^3 - \{E_1' \cup E_2' \cup E_3'\}$.



Figure 16. Standard arcs l_{ij}

Figure 16 shows *standard arcs* l_{ij} in the pair of pants I. We notice that we can isotope γ into δ in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ so that each component of $\delta \cap I$ is isotopic to one of the standard arcs and $\delta \cap \partial E_i \subset \omega_i$. Then we say that subarc α of δ *is carried by* l_{ij} if some component of $\alpha \cap I$ is isotopic to l_{ij}. The closed arc $\omega_i \subset \partial E_i$ is called a *window*.

Let $I_i = |\delta \cap \omega_i|$. Then δ can have many parallel arcs which are the same type in I. Let x_{ij} be the number of parallel arcs of the type l_{ij} which is called the *weight* of l_{ij}.

Now, consider E_1'. Let j_1 and k_1 be the simple arcs as in Figure 17. We assume that $\partial E_1' \cup \delta \cup j_1 \cup k_1 \cup l_1$ has no bigon in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. We note that $j_1 \cup k_1 \cup l_1$ separates E_1' into two semi-disks ${E_1'}^+$ and ${E_1'}^-$ as in Figure 17. Let u_1^+ be the number of subarcs of δ from l_1 to j_1 in ${E_1'}^+$. Also, let v_1^+ be the number of subarcs of δ from l_1 to k_1 in ${E_1'}^+$ and let w_1^+ be the number of subarcs of δ from j_1 to k_1 in ${E_1'}^+$. Let $m_1 = |\delta \cap j_1|$ and $n_1 = |\delta \cap k_1|$ in E_1'. For example, in the third diagram of Figure 17, we have $u_1^+ = 0$, $v_1^+ = 3$, $w_1^+ = 4$, $m_1 = 4$ and $n_1 = 7$.

We notice that each component of $\delta \cap E_1'$ meets l_1 exactly once. Also, we know that each such component is essential in $E_1' - \{1, 2\}$.

The components of $\delta \cap E_1'$ are determined by three parameters p_1, q_1, t_1 as in Figure 17, where $p_1 = \min\{|\delta' \cap l_1| | \delta' \sim \delta \text{ in } \Sigma_{0,6}\}$, $q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \le q_1 < p_1$. In order to define q_1 and t_1, consider m_1 and n_1. Then we know that $u_1^+ + v_1^+ = p_1$. So, $m_1 - n_1 = (u_1^+ + w_1^+) - (v_1^+ + w_1^+) = u_1^+ - v_1^+$. Therefore, $-p_1 = -u_1^+ - v_1^+ \le u_1^+ - v_1^+ = m_1 - n_1 = u_1^+ - v_1^+ \le u_1^+ + v_1^+ = p_1$. So, we know $-p_1 \le m_1 - n_1 \le p_1$. Now, we define q_1 and t_1 as follows. If $n_1 - m_1 = p_1$ then $q_1 \equiv m_1 \pmod{p_1}$ and $0 \le q_1 < p_1$, and $t_1 = \frac{m_1 - q_1}{p_1}$ and if $-p_1 \le n_1 - m_1 < p_1$ then $q_1 \equiv -m_1 \pmod{p_1}$ and $0 \le q_1 < p_1$, and $t_1 = \frac{-m_1 - q_1}{p_1}$. Then t_1 is called the *twisting number* in E_1'. Also, let (p_1, q_1, t_1) be the three parameters to determine the arcs in E_1'. Similarly, we have



FIGURE 17.

the three parameters (p_i, q_i, t_i) for E_i' $(i = 2, 3)$. Then γ is determined by a sequence of nine parameters $(p_1, q_1, t_1, p_2, q_2, t_2, p_3, q_3, t_3)$ by Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.1. *I_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ determine the weights x_{jk}. $(j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\})$*

Proof. We have two subcases for this. First, suppose that $I_i < I_j + I_k$ for all distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We claim that $x_{11} = x_{22} = x_{33} = 0$. If not, then $x_{ii} > 0$ for some i. We notice that $x_{jj} = x_{kk} = 0$. So we have $I_i = 2x_{ii} + x_{ij} + x_{jk}$, $I_j = x_{ij}$ and $I_k = x_{ik}$. This shows that $2x_{ii} + x_{ij} + x_{jk} < x_{ij} + x_{ik}$. This makes a contradiction. So, $x_{11} = x_{22} = x_{33} = 0$. Now, we have $I_i = x_{ij} + x_{ik}$. This implies that $x_{ij} = \frac{I_i + I_j - I_k}{2}$.

Now, suppose that $I_i > I_j + I_k$ for some i. Then we notice that γ has $I_i = 2x_{ii} + x_{ij} + x_{ik}$, $I_j = x_{ij}$ and $I_k = x_{ik}$.

This implies that $x_{ij} = I_j,\ x_{ik} = I_k$ and $x_{ii} = \frac{I_i - I_j - I_k}{2}$.

□



FIGURE 18. Essential curves obtained by a sequece of nine parameters

Recall that $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of isotopy classes of simple closed curves in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. For a given simple closed curve δ in a hexagon diagram, we define p_i, q_i and t_i in E_i' as above. Then let $q_i' = p_i t_i + q_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 5.2 (Special case of Dehn's Theorem)**.** *There is an one-to-one map $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Z}^6$ so that $\phi(\delta) = (p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1', q_2', q_3')$. i.e., it classifies isotopy classes of simple closed curves.*

When $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 0$ then $t_i' = 1$ if the simple closed curve is isotopic to $\partial E_i'$ and $t_j' = 0$ if $j \neq i$. Refer [5] to see the general Dehn's theorem.

We will use a sequence of nine parameters instead of six parameters for convenience.

6. Step 2-2: Hexagon diagram and Dehn diagram

Let $(p_1, q_1, t_1, p_2, q_2, t_2, p_3, q_3, t_3)$ be the nine parameters of γ in $\Sigma_{0,6}$. Assume that γ bounds an essential disk A in $B^3 - \epsilon$. Then we notice that $|\gamma \cap l_i|$ is an even number and H_i cannot contain a bigon component of the closures of $A - E$. If H_i contains a bigon Δ, then Δ will meet ϵ_i. This makes a contradiction that A is an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

To have an essential disk A which is not parallel to one of the E_i, at least two components of the closures of $A - E$ are bigon in P since H_i cannot have a bigon. So, γ has l_{ii} arcs in I for some i. We notice that we cannot have l_{ii} and $l_{jj} (j \neq i)$ at the same time. We assume that $x_{11} > 0$ and $x_{22} = x_{33} = 0$. If not, then we rotate γ a multiple of 120° about the center of H to have $x_{11} > 0$. Note that the 120° rotation preserves ∞ tangle. Essential curves obtained by a sequece of nine parameters The following lemma is very useful to simplify the sequence of parameters of γ.

Lemma 6.1. *Let N be an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and h be the clockwise half Dehn twist supported on N' which is the 2-punctured disk in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ so that $\partial N = \partial N'$. Then γ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if $h(\gamma)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.*

Proof. Let B_1 and B_2 be the closures of two components of $B^3 - N$. Assume that B_1 contains one arc of ϵ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Consider an extended homeomorphism H^{-1} of h^{-1} from $(B^3, H(\epsilon))$ to (B^3, ϵ) so that $H(N) = N$ and $H|_{B_2} = id_{B_2}$. Then, H^{-1} interchanges the

endpoints of the properly embedded arc in B_1 without changing the tangle type. So, we know that $(B^3, \epsilon) \approx (B^3, H(\epsilon))$. Now, we know that there exists i so that E_i' contains the two punctures of N' since N is an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. Let K_1 and K_2 be the closure of two components of $B^3 - E_i$. Actually, $K_1 = H_i$ and $K_2 = B^3 - H_i$. Let M_1 and M_2 be the closure of two components of $B^3 - N$. We assume that K_1 and M_1 contains only the same two punctures. Now, we can construct a homeomorphism J from (B^3, ϵ) to (B^3, ϵ) so that $J(K_1) = M_1$, $J(K_2) = M_2$ and $J(\epsilon_i) = \epsilon_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. So, we know that $(B^3, \epsilon) \approx (B^3, J(\epsilon)) = (B^3, \epsilon)$. This implies that $(B^3, H^{-1}(\epsilon)) \approx (B^3, J(\epsilon))$. By using Theorem 3.2, we know that $(H^{-1})^{-1}J(\partial E_i) = H(\gamma) = h(\gamma)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

To see the other direction, we consider h^{-1} which is the counter-clockwise half Dehn twist supported on N'. □

By using this lemma, we notice that a simple closed curve γ' which is parameterized by $(p_1, q_1, 0, p_2, q_2, 0, p_3, q_3, 0)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if only if γ does.

Now, we will discuss how to modify γ into γ' which is parameterized by $(p_1, q_1, 0, p_2, q_2, 0, p_3, q_3, 0)$.



FIGURE 19. Pseudo-hexagon diagram

In $\Sigma_{0,6}$, we choose windows ω_i and three two punctured disks E_i' to have a pseudo-hexagon diagram as in Figure 19. We isotope γ into δ in $\Sigma_{0,6}$ so that all components of $\delta \cap I$ are parallel to one of the standard arcs as in Figure 19. i.e., l_{12} and l_{13} lie in H and l_{11} meets the hexagon at exactly two times.

Now, consider the graph $(\cup_{j=1}^6 a_j) \cup \partial E' \cup \delta$. Then we assume that $\partial E_i' \cup \delta \cup (E_i' \cap \cup_{j=1}^6 a_j)$ has no bigon for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then let v_{ij} be the number of arcs for δ from a_i to a_j in H and let v^{ij} be the number of arcs for δ from a_i to a_j in H^c.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that δ is a simple closed curve in pseudo-hexagon diagram for which $x_{11} > 0$. Then $v_{11} = v_{33} = v_{44} = v_{55} = v_{35} = 0$ and $v^{ii} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.*

Proof. Suppose that $v_{11} > 0$. Then there is a component α of $\delta \cap H$ with $\partial\alpha \subset a_1$. We notice that α cannot be carried by l_{11} since l_{11} arc meets a_6 and a_4. If α is carried by l_{12}, then α needs to meet ω_2 at least two times. However, α cannot meet a_4, a_5 or a_6 in E_2'. Therefore,

there exists an arc which is parallel in E_2' to a subarc of ω_2. So, we can isotope the arc out of E_2'. This makes a bigon in E_2'. This contradicts the definition of a pseudo-hexagon diagram. Therefore, α cannot be carried by l_{12}. Similarly, α cannot be carried by l_{13}. If it cannot be carried by $l_{11},\ l_{12}$ or l_{13} then α is parallel in E_1' to an arc in a_1. This contradicts the fact that each component of $\delta \cap E_1'$ meets a_1 exactly once.

Suppose that $v_{33} > 0$. Then there is a component α of $\delta \cap H$ with $\partial\alpha \subset a_3$. We notice that $a_3 \subset E_3''$. So, $\partial\alpha$ is in E_3''. If α is carried by l_{13}, α needs to pass through ω_1. However, it cannot come back to ω_1 without meet $a_6,\ a_1$ or a_2 because it is essential in $E_1' - \{1, 2\}$. Therefore, α is not carried by l_{13}. If α cannot be carried by l_{13} then α is parallel in E_3' to an arc in a_3. This contradicts the fact that each component of $\delta \cap E_3'$ meets a_3 exactly once. With a similar argument, we also can show that $v_{55} = 0$.

Suppose that $v_{44} > 0$. Then there is a component α of $\delta \cap H$ with $\partial\alpha \subset a_4$. If α cannot be carried by l_{23}, then α is parallel in either E_2' or E_3' to an arc in a_5 or a_3 respectively. This contradicts the fact that each component of $\delta \cap E_2'$ or $\delta \cap E_3'$ meets a_5 or a_3 exactly once. So, α needs to be carried by l_{23}. However, we know that $x_{23} = 0$ since $x_{11} > 0$. This implies that v_{44} also should be zero.

Suppose that $v_{35} > 0$. Let α be an arc for v_{35}. Then the endpoints lie in both E_2' and E_3'. Therefore, α need to be carried by l_{23}. However, $x_{23} = 0$ since $x_{11} > 0$. This implies that $v_{35} = 0$.

Suppose that $v^{11} > 0$. Then there is a component α of $\delta \cap H^c$ with $\partial\alpha \subset a_1$. We notice that α is parallel in E_1' to an arc in a_1. This condradicts the fact that each component of $\delta \cap E_1'$ meets a_1 exactly once. Therefore, $v^{11} = 0$. With a similar argument, we can show that $v^{33} = v^{55} = 0$.

Suppose that $v^{22} > 0$. Then there is a component α of $\delta \cap H^c$ with $\partial\alpha \subset a_2$. We notice that both of the endpoints of α lies in E_1' or E_3' since there is no subarc of δ from E_1' to E_2', from E_1' to E_3' or from E_2' to E_3' in H^c. So, α is parallel in E_1' or E_3' to an arc in a_2. So, we can isotope α in E_1' or E_3' to reduce the intersection number of δ with $\cup_{i=1}^{6} a_i$. This contradicts that there is no bigon in E_3' in pseudo-hexagon diagram. Therefore, $v^{22} = 0$.

Similarly, we can show that $v^{44} = v^{66} = 0$. □

This lemma shows that v_{22} and v_{66} are the only v_{ii} which might be positive integers.

Now, we take new windows ω_i' as in Figure 20.

Then, we can isotope all arcs for v_{22} and v_{66} by pushing across a_2 and a_6 respectively to have a new simple closed curve η with windows ω_i'. Let u_{ij} be the number of arcs of η from a_i to a_j in H. Also, let u^{ij} be the number of arcs of η from a_i to a_j in H^c.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that δ is a simple closed curve in pseudo-hexagon diagram. Then η has $u_{ii} = u^{ii} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.*



FIGURE 20. Pseudo-hexagon diagram and Hexagon diagram

Proof. We notice that all arcs for v_{ij} are essential in H if $i \neq j$. Also, all arc for v^{ij} are essential in H^c if $i \neq j$. By Lemma 6.2, we know that $v^{ii} = 0$ for all i and v_{22} and v_{66} are the only v_{ii} which might be positive integers.

Now, let α_1 be an arc for v_{22}. Then, we claim that α_1 is carried by l_{13}. If α_1 is not carried by l_{13} then the endpoints lie in either E_1' or E_3'. So, α_1 is parallel in E_1' or E_3' to an arc in a_2. So, we can isotope α_1 in E_1' or E_3' to reduce the intersection of δ with a_2. This contradicts the fact that there is no bigon in E_i' in a pseudo-hexagon diagram. Therefore, α_1 is carried by l_{13}. Let x be the endpoint of α_1 in E_1' and y be the endpoint of α_1 in E_3'. Let β_1 be the component of $(\delta - \alpha_1) \cap E_1'$ which contains x. Then let θ_1 be the component of $\beta_1 \cap H^c$ which contains x. Then the other endpoint z_1 of θ_1 lies on either a_1 or a_6. Similarly, Let β_2 be the component of $(\delta - \alpha_1) \cap E_3'$ which contains y and θ_2 be the component of $\beta_2 \cap H^c$ which contains y. Then the other endpoint z_2 of θ_2 lies on either a_3 or a_4.

Therefore, by pushing α_1 across a_2 we get an arc for either u^{13}, u^{14}, u^{63} or u^{64}. We notice that each arc for u^{13}, u^{14}, u^{63} or u^{64} is essential in H^c.

Now, let α_2 be an arc for v_{66}. Then , we know that α_2 is carried by l_{11} or l_{12}. Let x' be the endpoint of α_2 in E_1' and y' be the endpoint of α_2 in ${E_1'}^c$. Then let β_1' be the component of $(\delta - \alpha_2) \cap E_1'$ which contains x' and θ_1' be the component of $\beta_1' \cap H^c$ which contains x'. Then the other endpoint z_1' of θ_1' lies on either a_1 or a_2. Similarly, let β_2' be the component of $(\delta - \alpha_2) \cap (E_1')^c$ which contains y and let θ_2' be the component of $\beta_2' \cap H^c$ which contains y. Then the other endpoint z_2' of θ_2' lies on either a_4 or a_5.

Therefore, by pushing α_2 across a_6 we get an arc for either u^{14}, u^{15}, u^{24} or u^{25}. We notice that each arc for u^{14}, u^{15}, u^{24} or u^{25} is essential in H^c. It is possible to use an arc α_3 for v^{26} to have a new arc $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \alpha_3$ so that $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_3| = |\alpha_2 \cap \alpha_3| = 1$. So, if we push α_1 and α_2 across a_2 and a_6 respectively then we have a new arc which might have $\partial\alpha$ in a_4. However, we notice that $\alpha \cap E_1'$ is a non-essential arc in $E_1' - \{1, 2\}$. This contradicts the fact that each component of $\delta \cap E_1'$ is essential in $E_1' - \{1, 2\}$. Therefore, this case cannot be happen. This implies that $u_{ii} = 0$ and $u^{ii} = 0$ for all i. This completes the proof of this lemma. □

Then the following lemma is also true.

Lemma 6.4. *u_{ij} and u^{ij} $(i,j \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\})$ are the weights for a hexagon diagram of δ.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 6.3, we get this lemma. □

Recall that $I_i = 2(\sum_{k=1}^{6} w_{ki}) = 2p_i$ for $i = 1,2,3$. Then by Lemma 5.1, we can calculate the weights x_{ij} of l_{ij}. We remark that x_{ij} only depends on p_1, p_2 and p_3.

It is clear that $p_i = \frac{I_i}{2}$. But, it is difficult to find q_i and t_i together. So, I want to find q_i by making t_i zero for all i. Actually, if $t_i = 0$ for all i, then we can find q_i as follows.

Lemma 6.5. *Let δ be a simple closed curve in a Dehn diagram. Let w_{ij} and w^{ij} be the weights of δ in a hexagon diagram.*

Suppose that $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$. Then $q_1 = w^{26}$, $q_2 = w^{46} - x_{11}$ and $q_3 = w^{24}$.

Proof. Consider the pseudo-hexagon diagram of δ with the weights v_{ij} and v^{ij}. Suppose that $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$. We notice that the graph $\partial E_1' \cup (E_1' \cap (a_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_6)) \cup \delta$. Then consider a subarc C of δ in H so that one of the endpoints of C is on ω_1. Then the other endpoint should be on a_2 since $t_1 = 0$. This implies that $v_{66} = 0$. Similarly, we see that $v_{22} = 0$ since $t_3 = 0$. So, $v_{ii} = v^{ii} = 0$ for all $i = 1,2,...,6$ by Lemma 6.2. Therefore, $v_{ij} = w_{ij}$ and $v^{ij} = w^{ij}$ for $i,j \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. By definition of q_i, we have $q_1 = m_1 = v^{26} = w^{26}$ since $t_1 = 0$.

Let $m_2 = |\delta \cap j_2|$ and $n_2 = |\delta \cap k_2|$ in E_2'. Also, let $m_3 = |\delta \cap j_3|$ and $n_3 = |\delta \cap k_3|$ in E_3'. Then, we also know that $v^{46} - l_{11} = m_2$ and $v^{24} = m_3$. Then this implies that $q_2 = m_2 = v^{46} - l_{11}$ and $q_3 = m_3 = v^{24}$ since $t_2 = t_3 = 0$.

□

We say that γ is *right-twisted* (or *left-twisted*) in E_i' if $t_i > 0$ (or $t_i < 0$). If we know δ is right-twisted (or left-twisted) in E_i', then we apply a half Dehn twist supported on E_i' to decrease (or increase) the twisting number t_i until the simple closed curve is not twisted.

Lemma 6.6. *γ is left-twisted in E_1' if and only if $u_1^+ > 0$.*

Proof. If γ is left-twisted in E_1' then $t_1 < 0$ by definition. Then $-p_1 \leq m_1 - n_1 < p_1$. We claim that $u_1^+ > 0$. If $u_1^+ = 0$, then we know that $v_1^+ = p_1$. So, $n_1 - m_1 = v_1^+ - u_1^+ = p_1$. This contradicts that $-p_1 \leq n_1 - m_1 < p_1$. Therefore, $u_1^+ > 0$. Now, suppose that $u_1^+ > 0$ to show the other direction. Then we know that $-p_1 \leq n_1 - m_1 < p_1$. This implies that $t_1 < 0$ by the definition of t_i. Therefore, $u_1^+ > 0$ if and only if γ is left-twisted in E_1'. □

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose that γ has $x_{11} > 0$. γ is left-twisted in E_1' if and only if γ has either*

1. *$w^{15} + w^{16} > 0$*
2. *$w^{15} = w^{16} = 0$, $w^{14} > 0$ and $w^{45} + w^{46} < w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14}$, or*
3. *$w^{15} = w^{16} = 0$, $w^{14} > 0$, $w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} \leq w^{45} + w^{46} < w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} + w_{24}$ and $w_{26} + w_{25} + (w^{45} + w^{46} - (w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14})) < w^{12}$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that γ has $x_{11} > 0$ and γ is left-twisted in E_1' with $w^{15} = w^{16} = 0$.

Then γ has $u_1^+ > 0$ by Lemma 6.6 since γ is left-twisted. Let C be the innermost arc for u_1^+ with the endpoints x_1 and x_2 which lie on l_1 and j_1 respectively. Then there is a



FIGURE 21.

component α_1 of $(\gamma - C) \cap H^c$ so that one of endpoints of α_1 is x_2. Then, the other endpoint x_3 lies on either a_6, a_5 or a_4. Because $w^{15} = w^{16} = 0$, we notice that x_3 lies on a_4. This implies that $w^{14} > 0$. We know that α_1 is carried by either l_{11} or l_{12}. We also know that $w^{26} = 0$ because of $C \cup \alpha$. We notice that $C \cup \alpha$ is also the innermost arc for w^{14} since C is the innermost arc for u_1^+.

Because $x_{23} = 0$, we know that x_3 goes along a component α_2 of $(\gamma - (C \cup \alpha_1)) \cap H$ and the other endpoint x_4 of α_2 lies on either a_5, a_6, a_1 or a_2. This implies that $w^{45} + w^{46} < w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} + w_{24}$. Especially, if x_4 lies on a_2 then we need a condition that $w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} \leq w^{45} + w^{46} < w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} + w_{24}$. In this case, x_4 needs to go along a component α_3 of $(\gamma - (C \cup \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2)) \cap H^c$ and the other endpoint x_5 of α_3 should be on a_1 since $w^{26} = 0$. Therefore, we have $w_{26} + w_{25} + w_{21} + (w^{45} + w^{46} - (w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14})) < w^{12}$. We notice that $w_{21} = 0$ since $w^{12} > 0$. Finally, $w_{26}+w_{25}+(w^{45}+w^{46}-(w_{45}+w_{46}+w_{14})) < w^{12}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that γ is not left-twisted and $w^{16} = w^{15} = 0$, $w^{14} > 0$ and $w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} \leq w^{45}+w^{46} < w_{45}+w_{46}+w_{14}+w_{24}$. Since γ is not left-twisted, we know that $n_1 - m_1 = v_1^+ = p_1$.

Let C be the outermost arc for v_1^+ with the endpoints x_1 and x_2 as in Figure 22. Let α_1 be the component of $(\gamma - C) \cap H^c$ so that x_2 is one of the endpoints of α_1. We notice that $C \cup \alpha_1$ needs to be the outermost arc for w^{14}. Let x_3 be the other endpoint of α_1. Then x_3 should be on a_4.

x_3 needs to go alone a component α_2 of $(\gamma - (C \cup \alpha)) \cap H$. Then the other endpoint x_4 of α_2 should be on a_2 since $w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} \leq w^{45} + w^{46} < w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14} + w_{24}$. After that, x_4 continues to go along a component α_3 of $(\gamma - (C \cup \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2)) \cap H^c$ and the other endpoint x_5 of α_3 lies on either a_3 or a_4. Then, we need an equality $w_{26} + w_{25} + (w^{45} + w^{46} - (w_{45} + w_{46} + w_{14})) \geq w^{12}$. This completes the proof of lemma 6.7.

□

If γ is left-twisted in E_1', then we apply the counter clockwise half Dehn twist supported on E_1' to γ. If γ is not left-twisted in E_1', then we notice that $t_1 = 0$ or $t_1 > 0$. So, if we apply the clockwise half Dehn twist supported on E_1' to γ then the modified simple closed



FIGURE 22.

curve γ' is either left-twisted in E_1' or not. If not, then we continue to apply the clockwise half Dehn twist to γ'. If γ' is left-twisted in E_1' then we notice that γ has $t_1 = 0$. Therefore, this lemma is enough to know if a simple closed curve has $t_1 = 0$ or not.

Now, we need the following lemma to make t_2 zero.

Lemma 6.8. *Suppose that $x_{11} > 0$ and $t_1 = 0$. γ is left-twisted in E_2' if and only if $w^{45} > 0$.*

Proof. We notice that $u_2^+ = w^{45}$ if $t_1 = 0$. By a similar argumet in lemma 6.7, we see that γ is left-twisted in E_2' if and only if $w^{45} > 0$.

□

In order to check γ is left-twisted in E_3', we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.9. *Suppose that $x_{11} > 0$ and $t_1 = t_2 = 0$. γ is left-twisted in E_3' if and only if $w^{13} + w^{14} + w^{63} + w^{64} > 0$.*

Proof. By using a similar argument in lemma 6.7, γ is left-twisted in E_3' if and only if $u_3^+ > 0$. Let C be an arc for u_3^+.

($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that $w^{13} = w^{14} = w^{63} = w^{64} = 0$, then C cannot be a subarc for any w^{ij}. Therefore, γ is not left-twisted.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that γ is not left-twisted in E_3' then we know that $w^{13} = w^{14} = w^{63} = w^{64} = 0$.

□

With the three previous lemmas, we can get γ' by applying appropriate half Dehn twists to γ so that γ' has the same p_i and q_i, but $t_i = 0$ for all i. Also, we know that γ' bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if γ does by Lemma 6.1.

7. Step 3-1: Pattern diagram of γ' in I'

Now, we modify γ' into γ_0 which is in *standard position* to access the main theorem.



FIGURE 23. Pattern diagram

Recall that E_i'' is a concentric two punctured disk in the interior of E_i' so that all the components of $\partial A \cap E_i''$ are parallel simple arcs as in Figure 17. Let $I' = S^2 - \{E_1'' \cup E_2'' \cup E_3''\}$. Now, take equators e_i for each E_i'' as in Figure 23. Then we can divide E_i'' into $E_i''^+$ and $E_i''^-$ by e_i.

Recall the fact that we need to have $x_{ii} > 0$ for some i to have an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ which is not parallel to one of the E_i, where x_{ij} is the weight of l_{ij} and l_{ij} is the standard arc from the window ω_i to the window ω_j. So, we assume that $x_{11} > 0$ and $x_{22} = x_{33} = 0$ without loss of generality.

Let γ be a simple closed curve which bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and has $x_{11} > 0$. Now, we define a *pattern diagram* of γ in I' which has 11 types of essential arcs in I' as in Figure 23.

The given number shows each type of arc. For example, type 1 is for an arc from $E_1''^+$ to $E_1''^+$. These are patterns of connectivity, not isotopy classes of arcs.

We will discuss the relation between the *hexagon diagram* and the *pattern diagram* in Section 8

8. STEP 3-2: STANDARD DIAGRAM OF γ_0 IN I'



FIGURE 24.

From a simple closed curve γ which bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$, we want to construct a new simple closed curve γ_0 which may be in a different isotopy class in $\Sigma_{0,6}$, but γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if γ does. Especially, every component of $\gamma_0 \cap I'$ is isotopic to one of the given arc types in one of the diagrams in Figure 24. The two diagrams in Figure 24 are called *standard diagrams* and a simple closed curve γ_0 is in *standard position* if γ_0 is obtained from one of the standard diagrams by putting weights on these arcs.

In this section, we show how γ_0 is obtained from γ by having a certain properly chosen t_i and the same p_i and q_i.



FIGURE 25. Standard diagram

First, we modify the hexagon diagram into the new diagram as in Figure 25.

We will let m_k be the number of parallel arcs of $\gamma_0 \cap I'$ that are isotopic to the arc type k in the standard diagram. Then we say that m_k is the *weight* of the arc type k in the standard diagram. We note that it is possible to have two non-isotopic arcs in I', but they are the same arc type k. So, we define m_{k_1} and m_{k_2} of the weights for the two different isotopic arcs with the same arc type k so that $m_{k_1} + m_{k_2} = m_k$ if they exist. Then we have a sequence of weights m_i for γ_0. It is called a *standard* parameterization. I want to mention the fact that m_k is going to be computed from the Dehn parameters as described in the following pages.

Now, we will show the two following lemmas.

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose that γ_0 is a simple closed curve which bounds an essential disk A in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and it is in standard position with $x_{11} > 0$. Then $m_1 + m_3 > 0$.*

Consider the standard diagram with $m_2 > 0,\ m_1 = m_3 = 0$ as in Figure 26. By referring to (1) in Figure 24 we can have two possible diagrams to have $m_2 > 0$. We note that the other diagram can be obtained by reflecting the given diagram about the horizontal axis which is passing through the middles of E_i''. Then we have a similar argument to check the

Proof.



FIGURE 26.

other case. So, it is enough to consider the diagram as in Figure 26.

We take a small open neighborhood $N^\circ(\epsilon)$ of ϵ so that $B^3 - N^\circ(\epsilon)$ is a handlebody. Then $[\partial A]$ is the trivial element of $\pi_1(B^3 - N^\circ(\epsilon))$ since A is an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

Let r_i be the simple arcs as in Figure 26. Then we note that the $r_i \cup \epsilon_i$ bound three disjoint disks in B^3. So, by considering the intersections between ∂A and r_i we can calculate the element $[\partial A]$ of $\pi_1(B^3 - N^\circ(\epsilon), x_0)$ as in Figure 26.

Now, we consider all the possible cases for the element $[\partial A]$ with respect to the three generators a, b, c of $\pi_1(B^3 - N^\circ(\epsilon), x_0)$.

Consider the sequence of arc types in the standard diagram which carries ∂A. Then, we note that a subarc of ∂A which is carried by one of the arcs in the standard diagram meets at most two times with $r_1 \cup r_2 \cup r_3$. The type 2 and 11 meet only r_1, the type 7 meets only r_2 and the type 8 meets only r_3, but the type 10 meets r_1 and r_3 to have $(ac^{-1})^{\pm 1}$ with respect to the generators.

We note that the arc type 2 carries ∂A since $m_2 > 0$. So, we take the base point x_0 in $\partial E_1''$ as in Figure 26 and start with a subarc of ∂A which is carried by the arc type 2. Then we have $a^{\pm 1}$ with respect to the generators. Let i_1 be the upper arc type i and i_2 be the lower arc type i as in Figure 26. We note that if we show that $[\partial A]$ with the given orientation is not trivial then $[\partial A]$ with the other orientation is also not trivial.

Now, we give an orientation to each arc type i as in Figure 26. We say an arc type $\overline{i}$ if the arc type i has the opposite oriention of i.

We define a *path* p of ∂A so that $p \subset \partial A - E_1''$ and $|p \cap E_1''| = 2$. We note that a path p can break E_2'' or E_3''. A path p is carried by some arc types. So, a path p is represented by an arc type or a sequence of two types. For example, 2 and 9 $\overline{8_1}$ stand for paths. We also note that each path generates non-trivial element with respect to the generators.

Now, we consider all the cases for consecutive paths of length 2 to check whether or not there is a cancellation between two paths as follows, where the first element of each pair means consecutive paths of length 2 and the second element of each pair means the element for the given paths of length 2 with respect to the generators.

(1) $(2|2, a^{-1}a^{-1})$, $(2|8_i\ \overline{9}, a^{-1}c)$, $(2|9\ \overline{8_i}, a^{-1}c^{-1})$, $(2|9\ 10, a^{-1}c^{-1}a^{-1})$ for $i = 1, 2$

(2) $(\overline{2}|\overline{2}, aa)$, $(\overline{2}|\overline{7_i}\ 6, ab^{-1})$, $(\overline{2}|\overline{6}\ 7_i, ab)$ for $i = 1, 2$

(3) $(\overline{6}\ 7_i|2, ba^{-1})$, $(\overline{6}\ 7_i|8_j\ \overline{9}, bc)$, $(\overline{6}\ 7_i|9\ \overline{8_j}, bc^{-1})$, $(\overline{6}\ 7_i|9\ 10, bc^{-1}a^{-1})$, $(\overline{6}\ 7_i|8_2\ 11, bca^{-1})$ for $i, j = 1, 2$

(4) $(\overline{7_i}\ 6|2, b^{-1}a^{-1})$, $(\overline{7_i}\ 6|8_j\ \overline{9}, b^{-1}c)$, $(\overline{7_i}\ 6|9\ \overline{8_j}, b^{-1}c^{-1})$, $(\overline{7_i}\ 6|9\ 10, b^{-1}c^{-1}a^{-1})$, $(\overline{7_i}\ 6|8_2\ 11, b^{-1}ca^{-1})$ for $i, j = 1, 2$

(5) $(8_1\ \overline{9}|\overline{2}, ca)$, $(8_1\ \overline{9}|\overline{6}\ 7_i, cb)$, $(8_1\ \overline{9}|\overline{7_i}\ 6, cb^{-1})$, $(8_1\ \overline{9}|\overline{10}\ \overline{9}, cac)$ for $i = 1, 2$

(6) $(8_2\ \overline{9}|\overline{2}, ca)$, $(8_2\ \overline{9}|\overline{6}\ 7_i, cb)$, $(8_2\ \overline{9}|\overline{7_i}\ 6, cb^{-1})$, $(8_2\ \overline{9}|\overline{10}\ \overline{9}, cac)$, $(8_2\ \overline{9}|\overline{10}\ 11, caca^{-1})$, $(8_2\ \overline{9}|\overline{11}\ 10, cac^{-1}a^{-1})$, $(8_2\ \overline{9}|\overline{11}\ \overline{8_2}, cac^{-1})$ for $i = 1, 2$

(7) $(8_2\ 11|8_2\ 11, ca^{-1}ca^{-1})$, $(8_2\ 11|9\ \overline{8_2}, ca^{-1}a^{-1})$

(8) $(9\ \overline{8_1}|\overline{2}, c^{-1}a)$, $(9\ \overline{8_1}|\overline{6}\ 7_i, c^{-1}b)$, $(9\ \overline{8_1}|\overline{7_i}\ 6, c^{-1}b^{-1})$, $(9\ \overline{8_1}|\overline{10}\ \overline{9}, c^{-1}ac)$ for $i = 1, 2$

(9) $(9\ \overline{8_2}|\overline{2}, c^{-1}a)$, $(9\ \overline{8_2}|\overline{6}\ 7_i, c^{-1}b)$, $(9\ \overline{8_2}|\overline{7_i}\ 6, c^{-1}b^{-1})$, $(9\ \overline{8_2}|\overline{10}\ \overline{9}, c^{-1}ac)$, $(9\ \overline{8_2}|\overline{10}\ 11, c^{-1}aca^{-1})$, $(9\ \overline{8_2}|\overline{11}\ 10, c^{-1}ac^{-1}a^{-1})$, $(9\ \overline{8_2}|\overline{11}\ \overline{8_2}, c^{-1}ac^{-1})$ for $i = 1, 2$

(10) $(9\ 10|8_i\ \overline{9}, c^{-1}a^{-1}c)$, $(9\ 10|9\ \overline{8_i}, c^{-1}a^{-1}c^{-1})$, $(9\ 10|9\ 10, c^{-1}a^{-1}c^{-1}a^{-1})$ for $i = 1, 2$

(11) $(\overline{10}\ \overline{9}|\overline{2}, aca)$, $(\overline{10}\ \overline{9}|\overline{6}\ 7_i, acb)$, $(\overline{10}\ \overline{9}|\overline{7_i}\ 6, acb^{-1})$, $(\overline{10}\ \overline{9}|\overline{10}\ \overline{9}, acac)$, $(\overline{10}\ \overline{9}|\overline{10}\ 11, acaca^{-1})$, $(\overline{10}\ \overline{9}|\overline{11}\ 10, acac^{-1}a^{-1})$ for $i = 1, 2$

(12) $(\overline{10}\ 11|8_2\ \overline{9}, aca^{-1}c)$, $(\overline{10}\ 11|9\ \overline{8_2}, aca^{-1}c^{-1})$, $(\overline{10}\ 11|9\ 10, aca^{-1}c^{-1}a^{-1})$

(13) $(\overline{11}\ 10|8_2\ \overline{9}, ac^{-1}a^{-1}c)$, $(\overline{11}\ 10|9\ \overline{8_2}, ac^{-1}a^{-1}c^{-1})$, $(\overline{11}\ 10|9\ 10, ac^{-1}a^{-1}c^{-1}a^{-1})$

We note that the path 2 cannot be the next path of paths $9\ 10$, $\overline{11}\ 10$, $8_2\ 11$ and $\overline{10}\ 11$. Otherwise, ∂A has an infinite spiral. Similarly, the paths $\overline{10}\ \overline{9}$, $\overline{10}\ 11$, $\overline{11}\ \overline{8_2}$ and $\overline{11}\ 10$ cannot be the next path of the path $\overline{2}$.

By considering all the cases, we note that there is no cancellation between two consecutive paths with respect to the generators a, b, c. This implies that $[\partial A] \neq e$ since $\pi_1(B^3 - N^\circ(\epsilon), x_0)$ is a free group. However, $[\partial A] = e$ since A is an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. This contradicts the assumption that $m_1 = m_3 = 0$, $m_2 > 0$ and this completes the proof. □

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose that γ' is a simple closed curve which is parameterized by $(p_1, q_1, 0, p_2, q_2, 0, p_3, q_3, 0)$. If $x_{11} > 0$, then we can construct a simple closed curve γ_0 which is parameterized by $(p_1, q_1, t_1, p_2, q_2, t_2, p_3, q_3, t_3)$ for $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ as in the table below, and it bounds an essential*

disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if γ' does. Moreover, each component of $\gamma_0 \cap I'$ is carried by one of the given arc types in one of the standard diagrams.

(1) $q_1 + p_1 < x_{11} + x_{13} : (t_1, t_2, t_3) = (0, -1, 0)$ *if* $p_2 \neq 0$, $(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ *if* $p_2 = 0$.

(2) $q_1 + p_1 \geq x_{11} + x_{13} : (t_1, t_2, t_3) = (-1, -1, 0)$ *if* $p_2 \neq 0$, $(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (-1, 0, 0)$ *if* $p_2 = 0$.

Moreover, if we have the following condition then γ' does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

(3) $x_{11} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1 < x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}$ *and* $x_{13} \geq q_1$.



FIGURE 27. The TWP diagram

Proof. Consider a diagram which is called the *train tracks-window-pattern diagram* or the *TWP diagram* as in Figure 27. We note that γ' meets $\partial E_i'$ only at the windows ω_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ in the TWP diagram. Let $a, b, \cdots, l$ be the weights for the train tracks as in Figure 27. We also consider $a, b, \cdots, l$ as the types of arcs. Then we can get the 11 types of essential arcs in I' as in the pattern diagram. (See Figure 23.) Then we can realize each connectivity pattern in the pattern diagram by an arc carried by this train track. For instance, the arcs for type 1 are carried by only $g - e - g$ and the arcs for type 3 are carried by $f - e - f$, $h - e - f$ or $h - e - h$.

We note that $|\gamma' \cap \omega_1| = 2p_1 = 2e + d + i$, $q_1 = h$, $x_{11} = e$ and $x_{13} = i$.

We will now define the standard diagram by modifying the arcs carried by the train track so that they may lie outside the windows.

We set $t_i = 0$ if $p_i = 0$.

In order to consider all the possible cases, we modify the diagram of Figure 27 into the diagram (a) of Figure 28, Figure 29 and Figure 30 by the following three subcases.

Case 1: $q_1 + p_1 < x_{11} + x_{13}$. (See the diagram (a) of Figure 28.) We note that $q_1 + p_1 = h + g < e + i = x_{11} + x_{13}$. Because of the given inequality $h + g < e + i$, we can have the black boxes BB_1 and BB_3 for the connectivities. Then we can consider the two more black boxes BB_2 and BB_4 for the rest of connectivities of subarcs. We say that the left incoming arc of BB_i is *input* of BB_i and the right incoming arc arc of BB_i is *output*



FIGURE 28.

of BB_i. We note that $f_2 > 0$ since $h + g < e + i$. So, we have only one possible output of BB_1 which is called f_1. We note that $f_1 + f_2 = f$. Then the following are all possible connectivities of arcs having arc types from the diagram (a) of Figure 28, where i_1 is the upper type i and i_2 is the lower type i. Also, we define m_{k_i} as the weight of the types k_1 and k_2 if γ has two different isotopy types for type k. Clearly, we have $m_k = m_{k_1} + m_{k_2}$.

We claim that the diagram (b) contains all the possible arc types which are obtained from the diagram (a).

(1) We start from $E_1''^-$ with f_1. Then $f_1 - e - (h + g) - h$ gives x, $f_1 - e - (h + g) - g$ gives 2, $f_1 - e - f_2$ gives 3, $f_1 - c$ gives 6_1, $f_1 - b$ gives 7 and $f_1 - a$ gives 6_2.

(2) We start from $E_1''^-$ with f_2. Then $f_2 - i - j$ gives y, $f_2 - i - k$ gives 11_2 and $f_2 - i - l$ gives 10_2.

(3) We start from $E_1''^-$ with g. Then $g - (h + g) - i - j$ gives 8_1, $g - (h + g) - i - k$ gives 9 and $g - (h + g) - i - l$ gives 8_2.

(4) We start from $E_1''^-$ with h. Then $h - (h + g) - i - j$ gives 10_1, $h - (h + g) - i - k$ gives 11_1 and $h - i - l$ gives z.

We note that there is no subarc in I' which connects E_2'' and E_3''. Now, we exclude some cases as follows.

Claim 1: x cannot be realized.

Proof. If $m_x > 0$ then $m_8 = m_9 = m_{10_2} = m_{11_2} = 0$. Then we note that $|\gamma' \cap (\partial E_1'' \cap E_1''^+)| = m_2$. However, $|\gamma' \cap (\partial E_1'' \cap E_1''^+)| \geq m_2 + 2m_x$. Because of the connnectivity in E_1'', we have an inequality $m_2 \geq m_2 + 2m_x$. This violates the assumption that $m_x > 0$. Therefore $m_x = 0$.

□

Claim 2: y and z cannot be realized.

Proof. If there is an arc for y then the arc for type 8_1 is the only possible arc which connects $E_1''^+$ and $E_3''^+$ and there is no arc to connect $E_1''^+$ and $E_3''^-$. We note that $m_{8_1} < j$ if there is an arc for y. We have the equality $j + l = k$ for the connectivity in E_3''. We point out that $m_y \neq 0$ implies $m_2 = 0$, so the only arc entering $E_1''^+$ is 8_1. Now, we have the inequality $m_{8_1} > l + k$ for the connectivity in E_1''. So, $m_{8_1} > l + k = l + (j + l) = j + 2l$. This implies that $m_{8_1} > j$. This contradicts that $m_{8_1} < j$.

If there is an arc for z then we note that the two arcs 2 and 8_2 are the only arcs that can enter $E_1''^+$. We note that $m_{8_2} < l$ if there is an arc for z. We still have the equality $j+l = k$. Then, we have the inequality that $m_{8_2} > k+j = (j+l)+j = 2j+l$ for the connectivity in E_1''. So, $m_{8_2} > l$. This contradicts that $m_{8_2} < l$. □

Now, we set $t_1 = 0$ and $t_2 = -1$ if $p_2 \neq 0$ by applying a half Dehn twist supported on E_2'' clockwise to have γ_0 in the diagram (c) of Figure 28. We set $t_2 = 0$ if $p_2 = 0$. Also, we set $t_3 = 0$. We note that the numbers on the diagram (c) does not match with the numbers of the diagram (b) in Figure 28 since the numbers came from the pattern diagram. Then, we can check that every component of $\gamma_0 \cap I'$ is isotopic to one of the arcs in the standard diagram 24 (1).

We note that γ' bounds a disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if γ_0 bounds a disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ by Lemma 6.1.

Case 2: $x_{11} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1 < x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}$. (See the diagram (a) of Figure 29.) First, in the diagram (a) we note that $m_1 = 0$.

Because of the given inequalities $x_{11} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1 < x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}$, we need to have the three black boxes BB_1, BB_2 and BB_3, where $n_1 \geq 0$ and $f - e > 0$ since $x_{12} > 0$. We note that the sum of the inputs of BB_1 and BB_2 is $x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}$. All the output of BB_2 should be the input of BB_3 since $x_{11} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1$.

Now, we get the diagram (b) of Figure 29 by setting $t_1 = t_2 = -1$ if $p_2 \neq 0$. If $p_2 = 0$ then set $t_2 = 0$. Set $t_3 = 0$.



FIGURE 29.

We claim that the diagram (c) of Figure 29 contains all the possible arc types which can be obtained from the diagram (b).

The following are all possible connectivities of arcs having arc types from the diagram (b) of Figure 29.

(1) We start from $E_1''^+$ with e. Then $e - n_2 - g$ gives 2 and $e - n_2 - h$ gives 1.

(2) We start from $E_1''^+$ with $f - e$. Then $(f - e) - c$ gives 5_1, $(f - e) - b$ gives 4_1 and $(f - e) - a$ gives x.

(3) We start from $E_1''^-$ with g. Then $g - n_1 - c$ gives 7_1, $g - n_2 - b$ gives 6 and $g - n_1 - a$ gives 7_2. We note that $g - n_2$ cannot take i since $x_{13} < q_1$.

(4) We start from $E_1''^+$ with h. Then $h - n_1 - c$ gives y, $h - n_1 - b$ gives 4_2, $h - n_1 - a$ gives 5_2, $h - n_2 - i - j$ gives 8_1, $h - n_2 - i - k$ gives 9 and $h - n_2 - i - l$ gives 8_2.

Claim: x and y cannot be realized.

Proof. Assume that there is a subarc to realize x. Then, there is no arc to connect $E_1''^-$ and $E_2''^+$. So, $m_{4_1} > m_5 + m_{7_2}$ for the connectivity in E_2'' since $m_x > 0$. Also, we have an inequality $m_{7_2} + m_2 \geq m_2 + m_{4_1} + m_5$ for the connectivity in E_1''. By combining these two inequalities, we have $m_{4_1} > m_5 + m_{7_2} \geq m_5 + (m_{4_1} + m_5) = m_{4_1} + 2m_5$. However, this is impossible to satisfy. Therefore, the assumption fails.

Now, assume that there is a subarc to realize y. Then, there is no arc to connect $E_1''^-$ and $E_2''^+$. So, $m_{4_2} > m_5 + m_{7_1}$ for the connectivity in E_2'' since $m_y > 0$. Also, we note that the arc 7_1 is the only arc that can enter $E_1''^-$. This makes an inequality $m_{7_1} > m_{4_2} + m_5$ for the connectivity in E_1''. By combining these two inequalities, we have $m_{4_2} > m_5 + m_{7_1} \geq$

$m_5 + (m_{4_2} + m_5) = m_{4_2} + 2m_5$. However, this is impossible to satisfy. Therefore, the assumption fails.

□

We note that if $x_{13} \geq q_1$, then $m_1 = 0$ because in the diagram (b) every subarc of γ' carried by e starts from $E_1''^+$ and ends at $E_1''^-$ in the diagram (b) in Figure 29. Also, $m_3 = 0$ in the diagram (b) since $m_1 = 0$ in the diagram (a). Therefore, $m_1 + m_3 = 0$. In this case, γ' cannot bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ by Lemma 8.1.

Thus, we can check that every component of $\gamma_0 \cap I'$ is isotopic to one of the arcs in the standard diagram 24 (2).



FIGURE 30.

Case 3: $x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1$. (See the diagram (a) of Figure 30.) We have the black box BB_2 for the given inequality. We note the sum of the inputs of BB_2 is $x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}$ and the sum of the outputs of BB_3 is $q_1 + p_1$. We note that $n_2 = x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}$.

Then, we set $t_1 = -1$, and we set $t_2 = -1$ if $p_2 > 0$ and $t_2 = 0$ if $p_2 = 0$. Also we set $t_3 = 0$ to have the diagram (b) of Figure 30.

Then we have two subcases as follows. We refer to the diagram (b).

Subcase 1: If $x_{13} \geq q_1$ then we note that $m_1 = 0$ since every arc which starts from $E_1''^+$ with f should end at $E_1''^-$.

Now, we claim that the diagram (c) of Figure 30 contains all the possible arc types which are obtained from the diagram (b).

The following are the connectivities of arcs having arc types from the diagram (b) of Figure 30.

(1) We start from $E_1''^+$ with f. Then $f-e-n_2-g$ gives 2. We note that $m_1=0$ implies $f-e-n_2-h$ does not occur.
(2) We start from $E_1''^-$ with g. Then $g-n_1-e-n_2-g$ gives 3, $g-n_2-c$ gives 7_1, $g-n_2-b$ gives 6, $g-n_2-a$ gives 7_2, $g-n_2-i-j$ gives w, $g-n_2-i-k$ gives 11 and $g-n_2-i-l$ gives 10. We note that $g-n_1-e-n_2-h$ does not exist since every arc starting from $E_1''^-$ with $g-n_1-e-n_2$ should connect to g since $x_{13}=i\geq h=q_1$.

(3) We start from $E_1''^+$ with h. Then $h-n_2-i-j$ gives 8_1, $h-n_2-i-k$ gives 9 and $h-n_2-i-l$ gives 8_2.

Claim: w cannot be realized.

Proof. Suppose that there is a subarc to realize $m_w>0$. Then, we note that the arcs 2 and 8_1 are the only two arcs that can enter $E_1''^+$. This makes an inequality $m_{8_1}+m_2 > m_2+m_{10}+m_{11}+m_w$ for the connectivity in E_1'' since $m_3>0$ by Lemma 8.1. This implies that $m_{8_1}>m_{10}+m_{11}+m_w$. We also have an equality $m_w+m_{8_1}+m_{10}=m_{11}$ for the connectivity in E_3''. By combining this inequality and equality, we have $m_{11}=m_w+m_{8_1}+m_{10}>m_w+m_{10}+m_{11}+m_w+m_{10}>m_{11}$ since $m_w>0$. However, this is impossible to satisfy. Therefore, the assumption fails. □

Thus, we can check that every component of $\gamma_0\cap I'$ is isotopic to one of the arcs in the standard diagram 24 (1).



FIGURE 31.

Subcase 2: If $x_{13}<q_1$ then $m_1>0$ since $e>0$ because $x_{11}>0$.

The following are the connectivities of arcs having arc types from the diagram (b) of Figure 30. We claim that the diagram (b) of Figure 31 contains all the possible arc types.

(1) We start from $E_1''^+$ with f. Then $f-e-n_2-h$ gives 1 and $f-e-n_2-g$ gives 2_2.

(2) We start from $E_1''^-$ with g. Then $g-n_1-e-n_2-h$ gives 2_1, $g-n_1-e-n_2-g$ gives 3, $g-n_2-c$ gives 7_1, $g-n_2-b$ gives 6 and $g-n_2-a$ gives 7_2.

We note that $g-n_2-i-j$, $g-n_2-i-k$ and $g-n_2-i-l$ do not exist since no arc starting from $E_1''^-$ with $g-n_2$ can go to i since $i<h$.

(3) We start from $E_1''^+$ with h. Then $h-n_1-e-n_2-h$ gives x, $h-n_2-c$ gives y, $h-n_2-b$ gives 4_2, $h-n_2-a$ gives 5_2, $h-n_2-i-j$ gives 8_1, $h-n_2-i-k$ gives 9 and $h-n_2-i-l$ gives 8_2.

Claim: x and y cannot be realized.

Proof. First, assume that there is a subarc to realize $m_x>0$. Then we note that 2_1 is the only possible arc which can enter to the $E_1''^-$. Because of the connectivity in E_1'', we have an inequality $m_{2_1}\geq m_{2_1}+m_x$. This implies that $m_{2_1}>m_{2_1}$ since $x>0$. However, this is impossible to satisfy. Therefore, $m_x=0$.

Now, assume that there is a subarc to realize $m_y>0$. Then we note that 4_2 is the only possible arc which can enter to the $E_2''^+$. We have an equality $m_{4_2}=m_y+m_{7_1}+m_{5_2}$ for the connectivity in E_2''. Also, we have an inequality $m_{7_1}\geq m_y+m_{4_2}+m_{5_2}$ for the connectivity in E_1''. Therefore, we have $m_{7_1}\geq m_y+m_{4_2}+m_{5_2}=m_y+(m_y+m_{7_1}+m_{5_2})+m_{5_2}>m_{7_1}$ since $m_y>0$. This is impossible to satisfy. Therefore, $m_y=0$.

□

Thus, we can check that every component of $\gamma_0\cap I'$ is isotopic to one of the arcs in the standard diagram 24 (2).

By combining cases 1, 2 and 3, we note that γ' can be modified as a simple closed curve γ_0 so that all components of $\gamma_0\cap I'$ are isotopic to arcs of the two diagrams in Figure 24, but γ_0 bounds an essential disk if γ' does by Lemma 6.1.

□

We represent γ_0 by putting weights on these arcs.

Now, we want to calculate m_i of γ_0 for $i=1,2,...,11$ by using the parameters p_j,q_j of γ' for $j=1,2,3$.

The following is the table of m_i for each case.

Lemma 8.3. (1) $x_{11}+x_{13}>q_1+p_1$*:*

$m_3=p_3-p_2-q_1$, $m_2=q_1+p_1-2p_3$, $m_{7_1}=q_2$, $m_{7_2}=p_2-q_2$, $m_6=p_2$, $m_{10_1}=\min(q_1,p_3-q_3)$, $m_{10_2}=\min(0,\max(2p_3-p_1-q_1,0),q_3)$, $m_{11_1}=\max(0,q_1-p_3+q_3)$, $m_{11_2}=\max(0,\max(2p_3-p_1-q_1,0)-q_3)$, $m_{8_1}=\max(0,p_3-q_3-p_1)$, $m_{8_2}=\max(0,q_3-\max(2p_3-p_1-q_1,0))$, $m_9=m_{10}+m_8-m_{11}$.

(2) $x_{11} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1$ *and* $x_{13} < q_1$*:*

(a) $q_1 > x_{13} + x_{11}$*:* $m_1 = p_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$, $m_9 = p_3$, $m_{5_1} = \min(q_2, p_2 + p_3 - q_1)$, $m_{7_1} = q_2 - m_{5_1}$, $m_{4_1} = p_2 + p_3 - q_1 - m_{5_1}$, $m_{5_2} = \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 + p_2 - p_1 - p_3)$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2 - m_{5_2}$, $m_{4_2} = q_1 + p_2 - p_1 - p_3 - m_{5_2}$, $m_6 = m_5 + m_7 - m_4$.

(b) $x_{13} < q_1 \leq x_{13} + x_{11}$*:* $m_1 = q_1 - 2p_3$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$, $m_9 = p_3$, $m_2 = p_1 + p_3 - p_2 - q_1$, $m_{5_1} = \min(q_2, p_2 + p_3 - q_1)$, $m_{7_1} = q_2 - m_{5_1}$, $m_{4_1} = p_2 + p_3 - q_1 - m_{5_1}, m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = m_5 + m_7 - m_4$.

(3) $x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1$*:*

(a) $x_{13} \geq q_1$*:*

$m_3 = q_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $m_2 = p_1 - q_1$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = p_2$, $m_{8_1} = \min(q_1, p_3 - q_3)$, $m_{8_2} = \max(q_3 - (2p_3 - q_1), 0)$, $m_{10} = \min(2p_3 - q_1, q_3)$, $m_{11} = \max((2p_3 - q_1) - q_3, 0)$.

(b1) $x_{13} < q_1$, $p_1 \geq 2q_1 - 2p_3$*:* $m_{2_2} = p_1 + 2p_3 - 2q_1$, $m_1 = q_1 - 2p_3$, $m_3 = q_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = p_2$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$ *and* $m_9 = p_3$.

(b2) $x_{13} < q_1$, $p_1 < 2q_1 - x_{13}$*:*

(i) $q_1 > x_{13} + x_{11}$*:* $m_{2_1} = q_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $m_1 = p_1 - q_1$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{5_2} = \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13})$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2 - m_{5_2} = p_2 - q_2 - \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 + p_2 - p_1 - p_3)$, $m_{4_2} = q_1 + p_2 - p_1 - p_3 - m_{5_2} = q_1 + p_2 - p_1 - p_3 - \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 + p_2 - p_1 - p_3)$, $m_6 = p_2 - m_{4_2} = p_1 + p_3 - q_1 + \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 + p_2 - p_1 - p_3)$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$ *and* $m_9 = p_3$.

(ii) $q_1 \leq x_{13} + x_{11}$*:* $m_{2_1} = 2q_1 - p_1 - 2p_3$, $m_1 = p_1 - q_1$, $m_3 = p_1 + p_3 - p_2 - q_1$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = p_2$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$ *and* $m_9 = p_3$.

Proof. Consider γ_0 which is in standard position.

First, we recall that $x_{ij} = I_j$, $x_{ik} = I_k$ and $x_{ii} = \frac{I_i - I_j - I_k}{2}$ if $x_{11} > 0$ by the equations in Lemma 5.1. Also, we note that $I_1 = 2p_1, I_2 = 2p_2$ and $I_3 = 2p_3$. So, we can have $x_{11} = p_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $x_{12} = 2p_2$ and $x_{13} = 2p_3$ from the equations.

Case 1: $x_{11} + x_{13} > q_1 + p_1$. Refer to the diagrams of Figure 28 and Figure 32 to get the weights m_i as follows.

First, we note the weight of the bottom arc starting from $E_1''^-$ is $\max(x_{13} - (p_1 + q_1), 0)$ as in Figure 32 since if $m_2 > 0$ then the weight is zero and $x_{13} - (p_1 + q_2) < 0$, and if $m_2 = 0$ then the weight is $x_{13} - (p_1 + q_1)$ because the weight starting from $E_1''^+$ is p_1 in this case.



FIGURE 32.

Then we have $m_3 = f_2 = (e+i) - (h+g) = (x_{11} + x_{13}) - (q_1 + p_1)$, $m_2 = x_{11} - m_3 = (q_1 + p_1) - x_{13}$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = p_2$.

We note that $m_{10_1} = \min(q_1, p_3 - q_3)$, $m_{10_2} = \min(\max(x_{13} - (p_1 + q_1), 0), q_3)$. Then we have $m_{11_1} = \max(0, q_1 - (p_3 - q_3))$ since if $q_1 \geq p_3 - q_3$ then $m_{11_1} = q_1 - (p_3 - q_3)$ and if $q_1 < p_3 - q_3$ then $m_{11_1} = 0$. Similarly, we have $m_{11_2} = \max(0, \max(x_{13} - (p_1 + q_1), 0) - q_3)$. Also, we have $m_{8_1} = \max(0, (p_3 - q_3) - q_1)$ since if $p_3 - q_3 \geq q_1$ then $m_{8_1} = (p_3 - q_3) - q_1$ and if $p_3 - q_3 < q_1$ then $m_{18_1} = 0$. Similarly, $m_{8_2} = \max(0, q_3 - \max(x_{13} - (p_1 + q_1), 0))$. We note that $m_9 = m_{10} + m_8 - m_{11}$.

In order to have better formulas as in Lemma 8.3, use $x_{11} = p_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $x_{12} = 2p_2$ and $x_{13} = 2p_3$.

Case 2: $x_{11} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1 < x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}$ and $x_{13} < q_1$.

Then we need to consider the following two cases in Figure 33 which are obtained from the diagram (b) of Figure 29. We note that the first case has $m_2 = 0$ and the second case has $m_2 > 0$. By referring to the two diagrams of Figure 33, we can get the weights m_i as follows. We will use a similar argument as in the first case to find m_{5_1} and m_{5_2}.

(a) $q_1 > x_{13} + x_{11}$: $m_1 = x_{11}$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3, m_{8_2} = q_3, m_9 = p_3$, $m_{5_1} = \min(q_2, x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} - (q_1 + p_1))$, $m_{7_1} = q_2 - m_{5_1}, m_{4_1} = x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} - (q_1 + p_1) - m_{5_1}$, $m_{5_2} = \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 - (x_{11} + x_{13})), m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2 - m_{5_2}, m_{4_2} = q_1 - (x_{11} + x_{13}) - m_{5_2}$, $m_6 = m_5 + m_7 - m_4$.

(b) $x_{13} < q_1 \leq x_{13}+x_{11}$: $m_1 = q_1 - x_{13}, m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3, m_{8_2} = q_3, m_9 = p_3$, $m_2 = x_{11}+x_{13}-q_1$; $m_{5_1} = \min(q_2, x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} - (q_1 + p_1)), m_{7_1} = q_2 - m_{5_1}, m_{4_1} = x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} - (q_1 + p_1) - m_{5_1}, m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = m_5 + m_7 - m_4$.

In order to have better formulas as in Lemma 8.3, use $x_{11} = p_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $x_{12} = 2p_2$ and $x_{13} = 2p_3$.



FIGURE 33.

We recall that if $q_1 \leq x_{13}$ then γ' does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

Case 3: $x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} \leq q_1 + p_1$. We note that $q_1 + p_1 < 2p_1$ since $0 \leq q_1 < p_1$. Then we have two cases for this.



FIGURE 34.

(*a*) $x_{13} \geq q_1$: Refer to the diagram (*c*) of Figure 30 and the diagram of Figure 34.

Then we have the following formulas for m_j. We will use a similar argument as in the first case to find m_{8_1}, m_{8_2}, m_{10} and m_{11}.

$m_3 = (q_1 + p_1) - (x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13})$, $m_2 = x_{11} - m_3 = (2x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13}) - (q_1 + p_1)$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $w_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $w_6 = p_2$, $m_{8_1} = \min(q_1, p_3 - q_3)$, $m_{8_2} = \max(q_3 - (2p_3 - q_1), 0)$, $m_{10} = \min(2p_3 - q_1, q_3)$, $m_{11} = \max((2p_3 - q_1) - q_3, 0)$.

(*b*) $x_{13} < q_1$:

We have the diagram (*a*) of Figure 35 by referring Figure 30 and Figure 31.



FIGURE 35.

Then we consider the two subcases $p_1 - q_1 \geq q_1 - x_{13}$ and $p_1 - q_1 < q_1 - x_{13}$.

(b1) : If $p_1 - q_1 \geq q_1 - x_{13}$ then we can directly get the formulas for m_j as follows. (Refer to the diagram (b) of Figure 35.)

$m_{2_2} = p_1 + x_{13} - 2q_1$, $m_1 = q_1 - x_{13}$, $m_3 = x_{11} + q_1 - p_1$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = p_2$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$ and $m_9 = p_3$.

(b2) : If $p_1 - q_1 < q_1 - x_{13}$ then we have the diagram (c) of Figure 35.

Now, we consider the two subcases for this which are (i) $q_1 > x_{13} + x_{11}$ or (ii) $q_1 \leq x_{13} + x_{11}$.

Then we have the two diagrams of Figure 36

(i) $q_1 > x_{13} + x_{11}$: (Refer to the diagram (i) of Figure 36.)

Then we get the formulas for m_j as follows.

$m_{2_1} = x_{11} + q_1 - p_1$, $m_1 = p_1 - q_1$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{5_2} = \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13})$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2 - m_{5_2} = p_2 - q_2 - \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13})$, $m_{4_2} = q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13} - m_{5_2} = q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13} - \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13})$,



FIGURE 36.

$m_6 = p_2 - m_{4_2} = p_2 - (q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13} - \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13})) = p_2 - q_1 + x_{11} + x_{13} + \min(p_2 - q_2, q_1 - x_{11} - x_{13})$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$ and $m_9 = p_3$.

(*ii*) $q_1 \leq x_{13} + x_{11}$: (Refer to the diagram (*ii*) of Figure 36.)

Then we get the formulas for m_j as follows.

$m_{2_1} = 2q_1 - p_1 - x_{13}$, $m_1 = p_1 - q_1$, $m_3 = x_{11} + x_{13} - q_1$, $m_{7_1} = q_2$, $m_{7_2} = p_2 - q_2$, $m_6 = p_2$, $m_{8_1} = p_3 - q_3$, $m_{8_2} = q_3$ and $m_9 = p_3$.

In order to have better formulas as in Lemma 8.3, use $x_{11} = p_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $x_{12} = 2p_2$ and $x_{13} = 2p_3$.

□

9. Step 4: Main Theorem

Now, we want to discuss the main theorem that can complete my algorithm. In order to do this, we define four homeomorphisms $(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1})^{\pm 1}$ and $\delta_3^{\pm 1}$ as follows.

Let δ_1 and δ_2 be the clockwise half Dehn twists supported on two punctured disks C_1 and C_2 respectively as in Figure 37. Also, let δ_3 be clockwise half Dehn twists supported on two punctured disk E_4' as in Figure 38. Then we have the two following lemmas.

Lemma 9.1. *γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if $(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1})^{\pm 1}(\gamma_0)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.*

Proof. We notice that an extension K to B^3 of $(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1})^{\pm 1}$ changes the position of two strings. So, it preseves the ∞ tangle. Let A be the essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ so that $\partial A = \gamma_0$. Then, we know that $K(A)$ bounds a disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and $K(\gamma_0)$ is essential in $\Sigma_{0.6}$. Therefore, $(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1})^{\pm 1}(\gamma_0)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

□

Lemma 9.2. *γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ if and only if $\delta_3(\gamma_0)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.*

Proof. By lemma 6.1, it is trivial. □



FIGURE 37. The homeomorphisms $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1}$ and $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1}$



FIGURE 38. The homeomorphism δ_3

Now, let $(p_{11}, q_{11}, t_{11}, p_{21}, q_{21}, t_{21}, p_{31}, q_{31}, t_{31})$ be the parameters for $h(\gamma_0)$ for $h \in \{(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1})^{\pm 1}, \delta_3^{\pm 1}\}$. Also, let $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31})$ be the Dehn's parameters for $h(\gamma_0)$, where $q'_{i1} = p_{i1}t_{i1} + q_{i1}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We note that the nine parameters can be obtained from the Dehn's parameters. Also, we know that $|\gamma_0 \cap \partial E| = 2(p_1+p_2+p_3)$ and $|\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2(\gamma_0) \cap \partial E| = 2(p_{11}+p_{21}+p_{31})$.

Now, here is the main theorem.

Theorem 9.3. *Suppose that γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and γ_0 is in standard position in I' and $m_3 > 0$. Then applying one of the homeomorphisms $(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1})^{\pm 1}$ and $\delta_3^{\pm 1}$ reduces the sum of the p_i for the image of γ_0. Especially, the following are the formulas for the Dehn's parameter changes for each case.*

(1) *$m_{2_1}, m_3 > 0, m_1 = 0$ and $m_3 > m_2 + 1$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 + m_2 - (m_{10} + m_{11}), p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3 + 2(m_{10} + m_{11}))$ by δ_3.*

(2) *$m_{2_2}, m_3 > 0, m_1 = 0$ and $m_3 > m_2 + 1$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 - m_2 + (m_{10} + m_{11}), p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3 - 2(m_{10} + m_{11}))$ by δ_3^{-1}.*

(3) *$m_3 > m_2 > 0$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 + m_2, p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3)$ by δ_3.*

(4) $m_1 > m_2 \geq m_3 > 0$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 + (m_3 - m_2), p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3)$ *by* δ_3.

(5) $m_1 = m_3 = 1$ *and* $m_i = 0$ *for all* $i \neq 1, 3$: *It bounds an essential disk in* $B^3 - \epsilon$.

(6) $m_1 = m_2 = 0$, $m_3 \geq 2$.
   (a) $m_{11} = 0$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - m_8, q'_1 - m_{8_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3 - m_8, q'_3 + m_8)$ *by* $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2$.

   (b) $m_8 = 0$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - m_{11}, q'_1 - m_{11_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3 - m_{11}, q'_3 + m_{11})$ *by* $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1}$.

   (c) $m_8, m_{11} > 0$:

      (i) $m_{8_1}, m_{11_2} > 0$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - (m_{8_1} - m_{11_2}), q'_1 - m_{8_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3 - (m_{8_1} - m_{11_2}), q'_3 + m_{11_2})$ *by* $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2$.

      (ii) $m_{8_2}, m_{11_1} > 0$: $(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - (m_{8_2} - m_{11_1}), q'_1 + m_{11_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3 - (m_{8_2} - m_{11_1}), q'_3 - m_{8_2})$ *by* $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2$.

   Also, if γ_0 *satisfies any of the following conditions then* γ_0 *does not bound an essential disk in* $B^3 - \epsilon$.

(7) $m_1 + m_3 < 2$ *and* $m_i > 0$ *for some* i.

(8) $m_2, m_3 > 0, m_1 = 0$ *and* $m_3 \leq m_2 + 1$.

(9) $m_2 \geq m_1, m_3 > 0$.

Proof. Suppose that γ_0 is parameterized by $(p_1, q_1, t_1, p_2, q_2, t_2, p_3, q_3, 0)$ to have γ_0 which is in standard position in I'. We note that $t_2 = 0$ if $p_2 = 0$ and $t_2 = -1$ if $p_2 \neq 0$.

First, we assume that $m_2, m_3 > 0$ and $m_1 = 0$. We note that there are two type 2 in a standard diagram and they cannot coexist. Without loss of generality, we choose the diagram (a) as in Figure 39.

Then we apply δ_3 to ∂A to reduce the minimal intersection number of γ_0 with ∂E. The diagram (b) of Figure 39 shows there is no possibility to have $x_{22} > 0$ or $x_{33} > 0$ for $\delta_3(\gamma_0)$.

We note that if $m_3 \leq m_2 + 1$ then x_{11} of $\delta_3(\gamma_0)$ is less than 2. Therefore, $\delta_3(\gamma_0)$ does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ since $x_{11} \geq 2$ if $\delta_3(\gamma_0)$ bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. This implies that γ_0 also does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. This makes a contradiction. Therefore, $m_3 > m_2 + 1$. So, we have the diagram (c) of Figure 39.

Now, we note that $|\delta_3(\gamma_0) \cap \partial E| = |\gamma_0 \cap \partial E| - 4m_2$ as the diagram (c) of Figure 39.

First, we note that $(p_{21}, q'_{21}) = (p_2, q'_2)$. Also, we know that $p_{31} = p_3$. We note that the rightmost arc type coming to E''_3 is 8_2 before taking δ_3, but the arc type 8_2 moved around



FIGURE 39.

counterclockwise by $2(m_{10}+m_{11})$ after taking δ_3. Therefore, $q'_{31} = q_3 + 2(m_{10}+m_{11})$.

We note that $p_{11} = p_1 - 2m_2$. We also note that $q'_{11} = q'_1 + m_2 - (m_{10}+m_{11})$ by considering the incoming of the arc type for x_{11} to the $E_1''^-$. In the diagram (a), the arc type 2 for x_{11} is coming to the $E_1''^-$ after the types 10 and 11. However, after applying δ_3 to γ_0 the arc type 3 for x_{11} is the right of some arcs with the weight m_2 which are not for x_{11} in the $E_1''^-$. Therefore, we have the following formula for the parameter changes.

$$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 + m_2 - (m_{10}+m_{11}), p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3 + 2(m_{10}+m_{11})).$$

Also, we check that $p_{11} + p_{21} + p_{31} = p_1 - 2m_2 + p_2 + p_3 < p_1 + p_2 + p_3$ since $m_2 > 0$.

We note that if γ_0 has another type 2 then we need to apply δ_3^{-1} to reduce the sum of p_i for γ_0.

Actually, the following is the formula for the parameter changes by δ_3^{-1}.

$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 - m_2 + (m_{10} + m_{11}), p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3 - 2(m_{10} + m_{11}))$.

The case that $m_1, m_2 > 0$ and $m_3 = 0$ is analogous to the previous case.

Now, we assume that $m_1, m_2, m_3 > 0$.



FIGURE 40.

Then we have the diagram (a) of Figure 39. After applying δ_3 we can get the diagram (b) of Figure 39.

First of all, we note that if $m_2 \geq m_1, m_3$ then γ_0 does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ since $\delta_3(\gamma_0)$ has $x_{11} = 0$ and in the diagram (b) there is no arc can occur x_{22} and x_{33}. So, we consider the two cases $m_2 < m_3$ and $m_3 \leq m_2 < m_1$.

(1) First, we assume that $m_2 < m_3$. Then we can get the diagram (c) in Figure 39.

We note that $(p_{21}, q'_{21}) = (p_2, q'_2)$ and $(p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_3, q'_3)$. Also, $p_{11} = p_1 - 2m_2$. In the diagram (a), the arc type 2 for x_{11} is coming to the $E_1''^-$ leftmost. However, after applying δ_3 to γ_0 the arc with the weight m_2 which is not for x_{11} is the left of the arc type 2 in the $E_1''^-$. This implies that $q'_{11} = q'_1 + m_2$. So, we have the following formula in this case.

$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 + m_2, p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3)$.

Also, we can check that $p_{11}+p_{21}+p_{31} = p_1-2m_2+p_2+p_3 < p_1+p_2+p_3$ since $m_2 > 0$.

(2) Now, we assume that $m_3 \leq m_2 < m_1$. Then we can get the diagram (d) of Figure 39.

We note that $(p_{21}, q'_{21}) = (p_2, q'_2)$ and $(p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_3, q'_3)$. We also can check that $p_{11} = p_1 - 2m_2$. We note that the arc with the weight $m_2 - m_3$ in $E_1''^-$ cannot connect to the arc with the weight m_3 since $m_1 > m_3$. In the diagram (a), the arc type 2 for x_{11} is coming to the $E_1''^-$ leftmost. However, after applying δ_3 to γ_0 the arc with the weight m_3 which is for x_{11} is replacing the position of the arc type 2 in the $E_1''^-$. This implies that $q'_{11} = q'_1 + (m_3 - m_2)$. So, we have the following formula in this case.

$$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - 2m_2, q'_1 + (m_3 - m_2), p_2, q'_2, p_3, q'_3).$$

Also, we can check that $p_{11} + p_{21} + p_{31} = p_1 - 2m_2 + p_2 + p_3 < p_1 + p_2 + p_3$ since $m_2 > 0$.



FIGURE 41.

Now, we assume that $m_2 = 0$.

We note that if $m_1, m_3 > 0$ then $m_1 = m_3 = 1$ and $m_i = 0$ for all $i \neq 1, 3$. Otherwise, γ_0 is not a simple closed curve. Moreover, if $m_1 = m_3 = 1$ then γ_0 bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ and the algorithm stops. So, we may assume that $m_3 > 0$, $m_1 = 0$ for the rest of this algorithm.

Then, we have consider the following three subcases.

(1) $m_{11} = 0$: We have the left diagram of Figure 41. Now, apply $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2$ to γ_0 to get the right diagram of Figure 41. We note that $(p_{21}, q'_{21}) = (p_2, q'_2)$. Also, $p_{31} = p_3 - m_8$ and $q'_{31} = q'_3 + m_8$. Moreover, $p_{11} = p_1 - m_8$ and $q'_{11} = q'_1 - m_{8_1}$.

Therefore, we have the following formula for the Dehn's parameter changes.

$$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - m_8, q'_1 - m_{8_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3 - m_8, q'_3 + m_8).$$

We can check that $p_{11} + p_{21} + p_{31} = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - 2m_8$.

(2) $m_8 = 0$: We have the left diagram of Figure 42. Now, apply $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1}$ to γ_0 to get the right diagram of Figure 42. We know that $(p_{21}, q'_{21}) = (p_2, q'_2)$. Also, $p_{31} = p_3 - m_{11}$ and $q'_{31} = q'_3 + m_{11}$. Moreover, $p_{11} = p_1 - m_{11}$ and $q'_{11} = q'_1 - m_{11_1}$. So, we have the



FIGURE 42.

following formula for the Dehn's parameter changes.

$$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - m_{11}, q'_1 - m_{11_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3 - m_{11}, q'_3 + m_{11}).$$

We also can check that $p_{11} + p_{21} + p_{31} = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - 2m_{11}$.

(3) $m_8, m_{11} > 0$: Since m_{8_1} and m_{11_1} cannot coexist, we assume that $m_{8_1} > 0$ without loss of generality. Then $m_{11_2} > 0$ and $m_{8_2} = m_{11_1} = 0$. Figure 43 shows this case.



FIGURE 43.

Now, apply $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2$ to the left diagram of Figure 43. For the connectivities in E''_1 and E''_3, we have $(m_3 + m_7 + m_6) + m_{10} + m_{11_2} = m_{8_1} + m_9$ and $m_9 + m_{11_2} = m_{10} + m_{8_1}$. So, we have $(m_3 + m_7 + m_6) + 2m_{11_2} = 2m_{8_1}$. This implies that $m_{8_1} > m_{11_2}$ since $m_3 > 0$.

We also note that $m_3 + m_{10_1} \geq m_{8_1} + 2$ to have at least two type 3 for $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2(\gamma_0)$. So, we know that $(p_{21}, q'_{21}) = (p_2, q'_2)$. Also, we know that $p_{31} = p_3 - (m_{8_1} - m_{11_2})$ and $q'_{31} = q'_3 + m_{8_1}$. Moreover, $p_{11} = p_1 - (m_{8_1} - m_{11_2})$ and $q'_{11} = q'_1 - m_{8_1}$. So, we have the following formula for the Dehn's parameter changes.

$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1 - (m_{8_1} - m_{11_2}), q'_1 - m_{8_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3 - (m_{8_1} - m_{11_2}), q'_3 + m_{11_2})$. Also, we can check that $p_{11} + p_{21} + p_{31} = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - 2(m_{8_1} - m_{11_2})$.

For the case that $m_{8_2} > 0$, $m_{11_1} > 0$ and $m_{8_1} = m_{11_2} = 0$, we still need to apply $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2$. to reduce the minimal intersection number of γ_0 with ∂E. Then we can have the following formula for the Dehn's parameter changes.

$(p_{11}, q'_{11}, p_{21}, q'_{21}, p_{31}, q'_{31}) = (p_1-(m_{8_2}-m_{11_1}), q'_1+m_{11_1}, p_2, q'_2, p_3-(m_{8_2}-m_{11_1}), q'_3-m_{8_2})$. Also, we can check that $p_{11}+p_{21}+p_{31} = p_1+p_2+p_3-2(m_{8_2}-m_{11_1})$.

□

If γ_0 is in standard position in I' with $m_1 > 0$, then we rotate γ_0 with 180° about the center of E'_1 to have a new simple closed curve η which is in standard position in I' with $m_3 > 0$. We note that η also bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ since the rotation preserves ∞ tangle.

If the set of weights m_i for γ_0 satisfies one of the conditions $(7)-(9)$ of Theorem 9.3, then we stop the algorithm to say that γ_0 does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. If the set of weights m_i for γ_0 satisfies the condition (5) of Theorem 9.3 then we stop the algorithm to say that γ_0 does bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. If not, i.e., the set of weights m_i for γ_0 satisifies one of the conditions $(1)-(4), (6)$ of Theorem 9.3, then we reduce the sum of m_i by using the formulas for the Dehn's parameter changes after applying one of four homeomorphism as in Theorem 9.3. Then with the new Dehn's parameters we can continue to follow this algorithm until either the data in each step fails to bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$ or $m_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., 11$. We note that if $m_i = 0$ for all i for γ_0 then it bounds an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

10. Examples of the use of the algorithm

Example 1: ∞ tangle and T.

Consider ∞ tangle as in Figure 44. Then the extension of $\sigma_5\sigma_3\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_1$ to B^3 makes a rational 3-tangle T. For every strings of T, if we choose the other two strings then they are isotopic to a trivial rational 2-tangle in B^3. However, T is not isotopic to ∞ tangle.

In order to show this, we consider ∂E_2 which bounds an essential disk in $B^3-\epsilon$. We notice that $w_{46} = w^{46} = 1$ and all the other weights are zero for $[\partial E_2]$.

Now, consider the simple closed curve $\alpha = \sigma_5\sigma_3\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_1(\partial E_2)$. We will show that α does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. Also, let $w_{ij}(f)$ and $w^{ij}(f)$ be the weights of $[f(\partial E_2)]$.

Let $f_1 = \sigma_1$, $f_2 = \sigma_3\sigma_1, f_3 = \sigma_2^{-2}\sigma_3\sigma_1$, $f_4 = \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-2}\sigma_3\sigma_1$, $f_5 = \sigma_3\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-2}\sigma_3\sigma_1$ and $f_6 = \sigma_5\sigma_3\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-2}\sigma_3\sigma_1$.

From the weight change formulas, we can get $w_{14}(f_1) = w_{56}(f_1) = w^{16}(f_1) = w^{45}(f_1) = 1$ and all the other weights are zero.

From $w_{ij}(f_1)$ and $w^{ij}(f_1)$, we get $w_{15}(f_2) = w_{56}(f_2) = w_{34}(f_2) = w^{35}(f_2) = w^{45}(f_2) = w^{16}(f_2) = 1$ and all the other weights are zero.

From $w_{ij}(f_2)$ and $w^{ij}(f_2)$, we get $w_{14}(f_3) = w_{46}(f_3) = w_{34}(f_3) = w^{36}(f_3) = w^{46}(f_3) = w^{16}(f_3) = 1$ and $f_3(w)_{56} = f_3(w)^{45} = 2$ and all the other weights are zero.



FIGURE 44. Example 1

From $w_{ij}(f_3)$ and $w^{ij}(f_3)$, we get $w_{14}(f_4) = w_{15}(f_4) = 2, w_{34}(f_4) = 1, w_{56}(f_4) = 3$ and $w^{15}(f_4) = w^{35}(f_4) = 1, w^{16}(f_4) = w^{45}(f_4) = 3$ and all the other weights are zero.

From $w_{ij}(f_4)$ and $w^{ij}(f_4)$, we get $w_{15}(f_5) = 4, w_{34}(f_5) = w_{56}(f_5) = 3, w_{35}(f_5) = 1$ and $w^{15}(f_5) = 1, w^{16}(f_5) = w^{45}(f_5) = 3, w^{35}(f_5) = 4$ and all the other weights are zero.

Finally, we get $w_{15}(f_6) = 4, w_{34}(f_6) = w_{56}(f_6) = 3, w_{35}(f_6) = 1$ and $w^{15}(f_6) = 1, w^{16}(f_6) = w^{45}(f_6) = 3, w^{35}(f_6) = 4$ and all the other weights are zero. We notice that $w_{ij}(f_5) = w_{ij}(f_6)$ and $w^{kl}(f_5) = w^{kl}(f_6)$.

So, α has $p_1 = w_{15}(f_6) = 4, p_2 = w_{15}(f_6) + w_{56}(f_6) + w_{35}(f_6) = 8$ and $p_3 = w_{34}(f_6) + w_{35}(f_6) = 4$. This implies that $x_{12} = 8$, $x_{23} = 8$ and all other $x_{ij} = 0$.

Especially, $x_{11} + x_{22} + x_{33} = 0$. Therefore, α does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. This implies that T is not isotopic to ∞ tangle. We can find the nine parameters for α by using the algorithm to check if α is left-twisted in E_i'. We remark that α is parameterized by $(4, 0, -1, 8, 1, -1, 4, 0, 0)$.

Example 2: T and T'

Now, consider T' which is obtained by reversing all the crossings in T. Then we have Figure 45. We want to check whether T' is isotopic to T or not. Let $f_7 = \sigma_5 f_6, f_8 = \sigma_3 f_7, ..., f_{12} = \sigma_1 f_{11} = \sigma_1\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_3\sigma_5 f_6 = (\sigma_5^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1})^{-1} f_6$.



FIGURE 45. Example 2

We notice that if T is isotopic to T' then $f_{12}(\partial E)$ bound essential disks in $B^3 - \epsilon$.

Consider ∂E_2. Then we already got $w_{ij}(f_6)$ and $w^{ij}(f_6)$ in the previous argument.

From $w_{ij}(f_6)$ and $w^{ij}(f_6)$, we can get $w_{ij}(f_7)$ and $w^{ij}(f_7)$. Actually, $w_{ij}(f_7) = w_{ij}(f_6)$ and $w^{ij}(f_7) = w^{ij}(f_6)$.

From $w_{ij}(f_7)$ and $w^{ij}(f_7)$, we get $w_{34}(f_8) = w_{56}(f_8) = 3, w_{15}(f_8) = w_{35}(f_8) = 4$ and $w^{15}(f_8) = 1, w^{16}(f_8) = w^{45}(f_8) = 3, w^{35}(f_8) = 7$.

From $w_{ij}(f_8)$ and $w^{ij}(f_8)$, we get $w_{34}(f_9) = w_{56}(f_9) = 3, w_{35}(f_9) = 4, w_{15}(f_9) = 7$ and $w^{16}(f_9) = w^{45}(f_9) = 3, w^{15}(f_9) = 4, w^{35}(f_9) = 7$.

From $w_{ij}(f_9)$ and $w^{ij}(f_9)$, we get $w_{34}(f_{10}) = w_{14}(f_{10}) = 7, w_{46}(f_{10}) = 3, w_{56}(f_{10}) = 14$ and $w^{16}(f_{10}) = w^{36}(f_{10}) = 7, w^{46}(f_{10}) = 3, w^{45}(f_{10}) = 14$.

From $w_{ij}(f_{10})$ and $w^{ij}(f_{10})$, we get $w_{15}(f_{11}) = w_{35}(f_{11}) = 7, w_{34}(f_{11}) = w_{56}(f_{11}) = 17$ and $w^{16}(f_{11}) = 7, w^{36}(f_{11}) = 10, w^{35}(f_{11}) = 14, w^{45}(f_{11}) = 17$.

Then finally, we get $w_{35}(f_{12}) = 7, w_{34}(f_{12}) = w_{56}(f_{12}) = 17, w_{15}(f_{12}) = 24$ and $w^{15}(f_{12}) = 7, w^{16}(f_{12}) = w^{45}(f_{12}) = 17, w^{35}(f_{12}) = 24$ from $w_{ij}(f_{11})$ and $w^{ij}(f_{12})$.

Let $\beta = f_{12}(\partial E_2)$. Then β has $p_1 = w_{15}(f_{12}) = 24, p_2 = w_{35}(f_{12}) + w_{56}(f_{12}) + w_{15}(f_{12}) = 48$ and $p_3 = w_{35}(f_{12}) + w_{34}(f_{12}) = 24$. Therefore, $x_{12} = 48$, $x_{23} = 48$ and all other $x_{ij} = 0$.

Especially, $x_{11} + x_{22} + x_{33} = 0$. This implies that $f_{12}(\partial E_2)$ does not bound an essential disk in $B^3 - \epsilon$. Therefore, T is not isotopic to T'.

References

[1] C.C. Adams, The knot book, W.H. Freeman and Co. (1994), Chapters 1-6.

[2] J. Birman, *Braids, links and mapping class groups*, Annals of Math. Studies 82, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.

[3] D. B. A. Epstein, J.W. Cannon, D. F. Holt, S. V. F. Levy, M. S. Paterson, and W. P. Thurston, *Word prcessing in Groups*, A. K. Peters/CRC Press (1992), Chapters 1, 2, and 9.

[4] A. Hatcher, *Notes on Basic 3-manifold Topology.*

[5] R. C. Penner with J. L. Harer, *combinatorics of Train Tracks*, Annals of Mathematics Studies No. 125, Princeton University Press (1992), Sections 1.1-1.2.

[6] H. Cabrera-Ibarra, *On the classification of rational 3-tangles*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 12 (2003), no. 7, 921-946.

[7] H. Cabrera-Ibarra, *Results on the classification of rational 3-tangles*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 13 (2004), no. 2, 175-192.

[8] J. Emert and C. Ernst, *N-string tangles*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 9 (2000), no. 8, 987-1004.

[9] F. Luo, *Automorphisms of the complex of curves*, Topology 39 (2000), no. 2, 283-298.

[10] M. Scharlemann, *Heegaard splittings of compact 3-manifolds*, Handbook of Geometric Topology, North Holland (2002), 921-953. Sections 1-3.

[11] S. Schleimer, *Notes on the complex of curves*, lecture notes.

[12] L.H. Kauffman, S. Lambropoulou, *On the classification of rational tangles* Adv. in Appl. Math. 33 (2004), no. 2, 199–237

[13] W.B.R. Lickorish, *A Representation of Orientable Combinatorial 3-Manifolds* The annals of Mathematics, 2nd ser., Vol. 76, No.3.(Nov., 1962), pp. 531-540.